

An Interview with
NANCY
BOLAND

An Oral History produced by
Robert D. McCracken

Esmeralda County History Project

Esmeralda County, Nevada

Goldfield

2013

COPYRIGHT 2013
Esmeralda County History Project
Esmeralda County Commissioners
Goldfield, Nevada
89013

CONTENTS

Preface

Acknowledgments

Introduction

CHAPTER ONE

CHAPTER TWO

CHAPTER THREE

CHAPTER FOUR

CHAPTER FIVE

CHAPTER SIX

CHAPTER SEVEN

CHAPTER EIGHT

INDEX

PREFACE

The Esmeralda County History Project (ECHP) engages in interviewing people who can provide firsthand descriptions of the individuals, events, and places that give history its substance. The products of this research are the recordings of the interviews and their transcriptions.

The Esmeralda County Board of Commissioners initiated the ECHP in 1993 in order to collect information on the origin, history, traditions, and quality of life of Esmeralda County communities that may be impacted by the construction of a high-level nuclear waste repository located at Yucca Mountain, adjoining the Nevada Test Site in Nye County. Though the repository has yet to be built, the ten oral histories in this group of interviews were paid for by county monies received in connection with the Yucca Mountain effort, which is now in hiatus.

In themselves, oral history interviews are *not* history. However, they often contain valuable primary source material, as useful in the process of historiography as the written sources to which historians have customarily turned. Verifying the accuracy of all of the statements made in the course of an interview would require more time and money than the ECHP's operating budget permits. The program can vouch that the statements were made, but it cannot attest that they are free of error. Accordingly, oral histories should be read with the same prudence that the reader exercises when consulting government records, newspaper accounts, diaries, and other sources of historical information.

It is the policy of the ECHP to produce transcripts that are as close to verbatim as possible, but some alteration of the text is generally both unavoidable and desirable. When human speech is captured in print the result can be a morass of tangled syntax, false starts,

and incomplete sentences, sometimes verging on incoherence. The type font contains no symbols for the physical gestures and the diverse vocal modulations that are integral parts of communication through speech. Experience shows that totally verbatim transcripts are often largely unreadable and therefore a waste of the resources expended in their production.

While keeping alterations to a minimum the ECHP will, in preparing a text:

- a. generally delete false starts, redundancies and the *uhs*, *ahs* and other noises with which speech is often sprinkled;
- b. occasionally compress language that would be confusing to the reader in unaltered form;
- c. rarely shift a portion of a transcript to place it in its proper context;
- d. enclose in [brackets] explanatory information or words that were not uttered but have been added to render the text intelligible; and
- e. make every effort to correctly spell the names of all individuals and places, recognizing that an occasional word may be misspelled because no authoritative source on its correct spelling was found.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

As project director, I would like to express my deep appreciation to those who participated in the Esmeralda County History Project (ECHP). It was an honor and a privilege to have the opportunity to obtain oral histories from participating individuals. I was welcomed into many homes and was allowed to share in the recollection of local history. I thank the residents throughout Esmeralda County and Nevada too numerous to mention by name who provided assistance and information. They helped make the successful completion of this project possible.

Appreciation goes to the Esmeralda County Commissioners who initiated the project in 1993: Chairman Wade Barton, Virginia Ridgway, and Joyce Hartman. Appreciation also goes to current Chairman Nancy J. Boland, William C. Kirby, and Dominick Pappalardo, who initiated the current project in 2012, and to Ralph M. Keyes, who became a commissioner in 2013. Ed Mueller, Director, Esmeralda County Repository Oversight Program, gave enthusiastic support and advocacy for this effort. The United States Department of Energy, through Mr. Mueller's office, provided funds for this round of interviews. Thanks are extended to Commissioners Boland, Kirby, Pappalardo, Keyes, and Mr. Mueller for their input regarding the conduct of this research and for serving as a sounding board as we worked out methodological problems. These interviews would never have become a reality without the enthusiastic support of the Esmeralda County commissioners and Mr. Mueller.

Jean Charney served as editor and administrative assistant throughout the project; her services have been indispensable. Jean Charney and Robert B. Clark transcribed a number of interviews, as did the staff of Pioneer Transcription Services in Penn Valley,

California. Julie Lancaster and Suzy McCoy provided project coordination. Editing was done by Jean Charney and Darlene Morse. Proofreading and indexing were provided at various times by Darlene Morse and Marilyn Anderson. Joni Eastley proofed all the manuscripts and often double-checked, as accurately as possible, the spelling of people's names and the names of their children and other relatives. Jeanne Sharp Howerton provided digital services and consultation. Eva La Rue and Angela Haag of the Central Nevada Museum and Suzy McCoy served as consultants throughout the project; their participation was essential. Much-deserved thanks are extended to all these persons.

All material for the ECHP was prepared with the support of the Esmeralda County Nuclear Waste Repository Oversight Program, funded by the U.S. Department of Energy. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed herein are those of the author and the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect the views of Esmeralda County or the U.S. DOE.

ô Robert D. McCracken
2013

INTRODUCTION

Historians generally consider the year 1890 as the close of the American frontier. By then, most of the western United States had been settled, ranches and farms developed, communities established, and roads and railroads constructed. The mining boomtowns, based on the lure of overnight riches from newly developed lodes, were but a memory.

Yet, even in the 2010s, the spirit of the American frontier can still be found in Esmeralda County, Nevada, in the attitudes, values, lifestyles, and memories of area residents.

Esmeralda County was established by an act of the Territorial Legislature of Nevada on November 23, 1861. The first boom camp in the county, Aurora, named after the goddess of dawn of Roman mythology, mushroomed into existence in the early 1860s with a population of at least 5000. The name Esmeralda, Spanish for "emerald," was provided by a member of the party that made the initial discovery of gold at Aurora; the individual probably had some beauty in mind—the term was then a common name for girls with green eyes. Another version is that the name referred to the Gypsy dancer Esmeralda in Victor Hugo's novel, *The Hunchback of Notre Dame*. Discoveries at Aurora were followed by others at Columbus (1864), Red Mountain/Silver Peak (1864), Gold Mountain (1866), Palmetto (1866), Montezuma (1867), Oneota (1870), Sylvania (1870), and Lida Valley (1871). Goldfield, which sprang to life in 1902, was the last great mining camp of the American West, and one of the greatest gold camps in the history of the world. Along with Tonopah (1900) and Rhyolite (1904), its two sister boomtowns, and several score of

smaller, shorter-lived daughter camps located on the central Nevada desert, Goldfield was the last magnificent flowering of the American frontier.

Between 1903 and 1942, Goldfield produced approximately 7.7 million tons of ore containing more than 4.1 million ounces of gold and over 1.4 million ounces of silver, worth \$90 million, mostly when gold was priced at \$20 per ounce. Goldfield's glory days were from about 1904 until World War I. After approximately 1918, mine production declined to a fraction of what it had been, yet the town lived on. It survived a terrible flash flood in 1913 and a catastrophic fire in 1923 that wiped out a substantial proportion of the town— at least 33 square blocks, by some old-timers' estimates. Another fire in 1924 nearly applied the coup de grâce to the grand lady, but still she persevered.

Much has been written concerning Goldfield's prosperous years, but relatively less material is available on the town and its people from the decades following the end of World War I. Much of the history of Esmeralda County is stored in the memories of individuals who are still living.

Aware of Esmeralda County's close ties to the land and our nation's frontier past, and the scarcity of written sources on local history after 1920, the Esmeralda County commissioners initiated the Esmeralda County History Project (ECHP) in 1993. The ECHP is an effort to systematically collect and preserve the history of Esmeralda County. The centerpiece of the ECHP is a set of interviews conducted with individuals who had knowledge of local history. Each interview was recorded, transcribed, and then edited lightly to preserve the language and speech patterns of those interviewed. All oral history interviews have been printed on acid-free paper and bound and archived in Esmeralda County libraries, Special Collections in the James R. Dickinson Library at the University of Nevada at Las Vegas, and at other archival sites located throughout Nevada.

The interviews conducted between 1993 and 1994 vary in length and detail, but together they form an unprecedented composite of life in Esmeralda County after 1920. These interviews can be compared to a bouquet: Each flower in the bouquet is unique—some are large, others are small—yet each adds to the total image. In sum, the interviews provide a view of county history that reveals the flow of life and events for a part of Nevada's past that has heretofore been largely neglected by historians.

A second set of interviews was initiated in 2011. The goal here was the same as for the interviews collected 20 years earlier—provide a view of Esmeralda County history unavailable elsewhere through interviews with county residents. However, in this series interviews were also conducted with a second goal in mind. Over 97 percent of the land in Esmeralda County is controlled and managed by the federal government—more than any other county in Nevada; indeed, in any state outside Alaska—and of the private land approximately 50 percent consists of patented mining claims, leaving little opportunity for community expansion on private land. A large percentage of Esmeralda County residents consequently believe the county is in large measure governed by the federal government as opposed to elected state of Nevada, county, and local officials. Many feel the strong presence of the federal government has the effect of constricting economic opportunity and personal freedom for local residents in many areas of life and would like to see changes made in that arrangement with the transfer of more control to local and state government. Those issues formed part of the focus of these oral histories.

© RDM
2013

This is Robert McCracken talking to Nancy Boland at the Nuclear Repository Office in Goldfield, Nevada, August 10 and 18, 2011.

CHAPTER ONE

RM: Nancy, why don't we start by you telling me your name as it reads on your birth certificate?

NB: Nancy Joanne Boland.

RM: And when and where were you born?

NB: I was born March 12, 1953, in Rochester, New York.

RM: And what is your mother's full name?

NB: Viola Frenz Boland.

RM: When and where was she born?

NB: She was born in Rochester, New York, in June 1927.

RM: Do you know what her background was - where she grew up and what her father did and so on?

NB: Actually, I do. My grandparents lived right down the street and they gave my parents a piece of their farm to put their residence on as a marriage gift. My grandfather started off being a dairy and vegetable-type farmer and plowed his fields with horses, and had to give up the dairy a little later on because of arthritis in his hands. My grandmother was the financial genius and backup for running the household. She churned butter and made all the meals, a very hard-working woman.

They shared the burden as farmers, and that's how my mother grew up - helping out on the farm and messing around with the critters. She liked those a lot. Then she went to work in the city of Rochester for Hickok. Hickok was one of the core industries. Remember the Hickok Belt? They used to give away that prize. She worked there for some time. I don't know how she met my father, but he was working at Eastman Kodak

when they met.

RM: And what is your father's full name, and when and where was he born?

NB: His full name was Francis Bernard Boland, and he was born the same year my mother was.

RM: Was he born in Rochester, too?

NB: No. I'm not sure where he was born. His father was a construction worker and he wandered from job to job to job. He helped with some of the canal-building in upstate New York. They moved a lot, and the last place they did settle in was in Rochester, so probably from about 12 years old on, my father lived in the same neighborhood.

RM: How did your father earn a living?

NB: He was a pipefitter for Eastman Kodak.

RM: Did he work for them a long time?

NB: Yes. He started with them when he was 17. Then he was in the last part of World War II just long enough for the Armistice and came right back to work.

RM: Eastman Kodak was a huge operation there, wasn't it?

NB: Yes, it was. That job ended up costing him his life, actually, because he had mesothelioma, that asbestos-caused lung cancer, because he was a pipefitter. We lost him rather early, which was sad.

RM: That's too bad. He got that on the job, working? Did they put the pipes in with insulation or something?

NB: The insulation around the pipes had asbestos in it, so when they went to changing years ago, they knocked it off. It was kind of a hardened crust, so they just went 'bang, bang, bang,' and all that stuff was up in the air. I think Kodak might have known that it was dangerous, but they weren't properly equipped safety-wise.

RM: Did you grow up in Rochester?

NB: Actually, outside Rochester in Penfield, New York. I was an oddity there.

[Laughs]

RM: What do you mean?

NB: My parents were still married. I went to school in the Webster, New York, school system. It's an odd arrangement, but where I lived in Penfield, I had a Fairport mailing address and I went to school in the Webster School District. That's when Xerox was in its glory days, when it actually started to become Xerox, so almost everybody that I went to school with who was not in agriculture, their parents worked at Xerox.

There seemed to be more people I went to school with who had divorced parents than had married parents. Our little neighborhood was very agricultural. You'd have, like, a 70-acre farm - our house was on one of those - and then the next thing up might be 40 acres, and then a couple little homes in between. The people that were in my neighborhood directly, generally our parents were still married, but when we went to school, it seemed like everybody else's parents were divorced.

RM: Did Xerox begin in Webster or was it also in Rochester?

NB: It was Haloid Corporation first and then it turned into Xerox later; a lot of people got rich because of that transition.

RM: So there were these two huge industries there, Eastman Kodak and Xerox.

NB: There were more than that. Bausch & Lomb is there. It's probably not that way now, but at one time Rochester, New York, had more millionaires per capita than anywhere else. A lot of entrepreneurs came out of there.

RM: There must have been a real spirit of innovation there, too.

NB: Yes, and then upstate New York is the core of IBM, too; it started in Syracuse.

RM: That's really interesting. Now, what did you do after you got out of public school?

NB: I went to work. I always worked. [Laughs] I've been working since I was five years old, picking beans and strawberries. I never got paid an allowance; I had to buy everything. After school, I went to work doing some office work—accounting-type stuff. It was for a company that marketed sporting goods items. Actually, that was pretty fascinating because one of the people they signed up to represent their products was Mark Spitz. I remember meeting him in person when he came to their offices. They ran bowling alleys, too, which was great.

RM: So you started working after high school?

NB: As I said, I started working at five years old. I mean, I was doing farm work. It was like a rest when I got grown up. [RM laughs]

I worked there, and then one day I met this Canadian guy, and he decided he wanted to marry me and there was no saying no to him. He sort of told my mother, "Hey, I want to marry your daughter," and she's figuring, "I don't want her to be an old maid." She was concerned that I hadn't married early enough.

I was supposed to get married real young and be a Xerox wife and homemaker and all that. She'd always had concerns about that I was somewhat maladjusted. My father didn't, though. [Laughs] I wasn't much of a female, let's put it that way. I always enjoyed working with tools and I liked sports, so I wasn't what she pictured as being a nice feminine little girl. I was really a tomboy.

Anyway, this guy came along and he was a nice enough fellow, and one thing led to another. When my mother found out about it she was, like, jumping up and down and ordering wedding invitations and everything. So I got married to him.

RM: How old were you?

NB: I was maybe 23; I'm not sure - young 20s. We got married and I moved up to Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Up there, I again worked in office-type jobs, mostly with numbers. I decided one day that if I was going to be working, I wanted to get paid good money so I enrolled in the Certified General Accountants Program, which is like being a CPA down here. They have different classifications. You can be an internal accountant and in some provinces, a CGA can audit just like a CPA can down here; but in Ontario, generally you end up being something like a controller. That's where that path leads.

Their programs are different than down here; you actually have to work and you take your schooling, and it's critical, to keep going in the course, that you have a certain professional achievement along with your educational achievement. Say if you're at Level 4, you have to be a mid-manager in order to complete and get your ultimate certificate. So you have to progressively get promoted along with the education, which I think is a much better way of going about schooling because you have practical experience, too.

I started on that course and continued on with it. I worked for Northern Telecom and the Humane Society up there, and the big one was United Tire and Rubber.

RM: And you were working as an accountant?

NB: No, you start as clerk or the equivalent and then you work up, but you've got to work in the field and keep going up. I worked for United Tire and Rubber and it seemed like they weren't going to advance me. Because of the requirement of the course and also because I felt like I was deserving and they passed me over, I left them and went to work for Northern Telecom. I wasn't gone very long before I got asked to come back with an elevated position. That's how I got to Nevada.

When I returned, part of my duties was being in charge of doing all branch

budgetsô supervising company budgets and cost accounting and inventory control. I worked very closely with all of the people who ran subsidiary companies, or even the engineers who made the tires. One of the little things in the string happened to be out here in Nevadaô Mountain States Tire in Tonopah.

The first time I came out here was 1978. The marriage hadn't been working out too good because my husband decided he was going to be a born-again Christian and wanted to be a minister, and because he wanted to do that, I was supposed to all of a sudden turn into a very retiring and submissive wife. So that just wasn't working out too well for me. [Laughs]

RM: That wasn't who you were, was it?

NB: No, and I said to him, "You saw what I was when you got me. That's not going to work. If you want it to work, you're going to have to respect me as the person that you married." That was a lot of the problem. He'd gotten really wrapped up in this thing. He was thinking he wanted to be a preacher and was going to prayer meetings, and they were all speaking in tongues and so on, and he was looking for interpretations in everything. It was really hard on me because I was raised a Lutheran and I have a very strong faith in God, but I understand that faith in God is a long and steady thing, and faith is not something that comes over you all at once. His picture and my picture weren't maybe the same thing, so it was particularly bothersome to me because it was as though I was the unbeliever. I wasn't good enough.

RM: Yes, you were the heathen.

NB: Yes, because I wasn't "born again," but I might never have been unborn again. Because as soon as I was baptizedô it's kind of a different way of coming to the same place. So at that point I wasn't too happy about the marriage and was wanting to come

back to the United States for a number of reasons. Although I like Canada a lot, they're not quite the same personality. I don't know how to really put it. They're more standoffish as a citizenry, and the people up there are all sort of lukewarm.

RM: Maybe they're a little more English.

NB: It's not even that. It's because of the way it's structured there. In their educational system, you end up with people who aren't real stupid or real smart. The people are sort of the same. They've all completed this high-school-type thing, but you don't run into really flashingly brilliant types and there's not the drive the people have here. A person like me might be considered to be aggressive because I'm looking to achieve, and I missed that. I like the variety of us, and we're far more strong-willed in the United States as individuals than they tend to be. Some of it may be the British influence, but I just think it's the system, actually.

RM: In a way, there's less variability in people up there - is that fair to say? And there's more variability down here. You've got a big range.

NB: And the bumps in life aren't so bad there, either. We're far more without a safety net than they are, and that's probably another thing that contributes. But we have a lot more variety. Down here you're going to have the challenge of taking care of yourself; otherwise, you're going to starve. In Canada, that would not be allowed to happen. You'd be in some kind of housing somewhere or somebody would take care of you.

As good as some of that is, I missed the challenge of being here and being able to freely say what I wanted to and not have people look at me and go, "Oh, you're an American." At that time they didn't particularly care for us because we were abrasive and aggressive.

RM: So you came back?

NB: That was my desire, to come back, but this company didn't want to let me go. At the time they were having a little problem with this Mountain States Tire in Nevada because it wasn't making money, and there was also sort of an abrasive relationship between the gentleman that ran it and the company's owners. So besides the difference between your ordinary Canadian and your ordinary American, putting a very city-oriented business-suit-wearing person in communication with a fellow who's really western and wears a cowboy hat just wasn't working.

So there was a big clash and they didn't communicate, and the people who owned United Tire and Rubber said, "Look. You can communicate with him and you can communicate with us, and we'd like you go down there and see if you can get this thing to run better. Would you be willing to do it? It gets you back in the States for a while and it would help us out with the problem. You can be a consultant to us."

I said, "You know, that might be a good thing," because it would give me a chance to think things out, so that's what I did. I'd been down here before on audits and such.

RM: So you knew what the central Nevada was.

NB: Yes. That would have been '79 because I first came in '78 on an audit.

RM: What did you first think when you came into this country?

NB: I liked it. It was wide open. I really have never liked cities. [Laughs]

RM: And a totally different world from what you grew up in or what you had in Canada.

NB: Not really, because I'd seen all of Canada. There's places like Timmins, Ontario, and Sudbury that are completely devoid of any kind of vegetation, so this looks green compared to that. And I've been to Baffin Island, which is near the North Pole, which is

nothing but an ice sheet; so I had seen quite a bit.

RM: Sudbury was where the meteor hit.

NB: There is nickel there; there's a nickel smelter. That's what took care of all the vegetation. And there's portions of the landscape near the Great Lakes where it's just a massive granite formation. This area of Nevada is different, but I liked it. I liked it the first time I came because it was the first time in my life my sinuses didn't bother me, and I liked the openness of it.

RM: What did you think of the people compared to the people that you'd grown up with in the Rochester area and then the people in Canada?

NB: The people here were more like the ones I grew up with. You've got to remember, a lot of my neighbors and people I went to school with were rural, so there's a lot of shared interests. People out here like to go horseback riding or tooling around in their truck or shooting guns, and I fell right into it because I liked all that stuff.

RM: So they asked you to come down here and so you said okay.

NB: Yes. It was supposed to be for a limited period of time, but I ended up kind of getting hooked in. It was nice. When I came down here, they put me to the test. The ones who worked for the Tonopah store were trying me out to see if I was going to weaken like going out and shooting black powder guns. "Is the little woman afraid?"

RM: And you were really not much more than a kid, were you? What, 25, 26?

NB: About 25.

RM: So you must have been pretty tough.

NB: Well, hell, yes. That's what I said; I was picking strawberries at five years old.

RM: So having them testing you wasn't so bad.

NB: No, it was a game. It didn't bother me. I've shot guns before; maybe not the ones

they had, but I've shot them. So after a while I was accepted. Then I said I really didn't want to go back to Canada and I stayed down here and helped run that store.

RM: How long were you with the store?

NB: A couple years.

RM: What did you do then?

NB: I wandered again. I went to work for an oil jobber for a little while in upstate New York. Then I decided I wanted to come back out here and start my own business.

RM: And what business was that?

NB: I did management consulting work, helping people with startups, and I did income-tax preparation.

RM: So you had developed a lot of skills in accounting and numbers, and how to make a business work.

NB: And even engineering, because I spent a lot of time doing cost accounting.

RM: Describe cost accounting.

NB: An engineer says, for instance, "I'm making this tire," so you take the specs and figure out how much all the stuff costs, then you've got to figure out how much time it's going to take for them to do it - your labor costs. Then you come up with something called the standard cost, which is the estimated cost that you carry because you're not going to know how much it costs for you to make all these tires till the year is through. That's your best guess and it's got to be good because every time one of those tires is done you say, "Okay, that's my standard cost," and then you put it in your inventory. At the end of the year, you find out how good those standard costs were because you do a physical inventory of your raw materials and whatnot to see what you actually used - you already know how many hours your people put in. So the difference there has to be

reconciled.

RM: Where did you get those skills?

NB: From the courses I took.

RM: How long did that program last?

NB: It's a five-year thing. I finished it down here, actually.

RM: What is the name of that institution?

NB: It's called the Certified General Accounting Association; they sponsor the program. So you might be going to, say, McGill University in Montreal to take a course, or you could go to University of Toronto. Even community colleges, sometimes. They figured the course curriculum out and okayed it. It wasn't just accounting; there were business management components and human resource components. When you got done, you were like an MBA, not just an accountant. This geared you up to be like the financial manager of a company when you were done because human resources and inventory and purchasing and so on fall under the finance function in a company.

RM: And you took to that like a duck to water?

NB: Yes, I still enjoy that. When I got down here, I kind of naturally got involved with mining companies. Even while I had my own business going, I worked for mining companies.

RM: Were they Nevada companies?

NB: No, they were Canadian companies with operations in Nevada. Most of them end up being from Vancouver. There's a whole street full of them out there. All their offices are, like, right next door to each other.

And that worked well for me because they understood what my credentials meant. A lot of companies here don't understand what a CGA is but the Canadian companies

know what it is and they're like, "Yes, we want you." There was a little time in there, too, when my husband and I watched the Sunshine Mill up in Silver Peak; it was in mothballs.

RM: So you remarried when you came down here?

NB: Yes, but don't ask me what year that was. We've been married a long time.

RM: And what is his name?

NB: His name is Dennis Hammers.

RM: Is he a miner?

NB: No, he used to be a stagehand in the Las Vegas Stagehands Union. He's traveled a lot, like I did when I was young. He did road shows. One time he could have gone with Michael Jackson, but he didn't think that the Jackson Five was going to go anywhere.

[Laughs] He's toured with rock and roll bands and shows down in Las Vegas. I think one time he worked on the East Coast in Virginia, at Wolf Trap. I think he worked at that theater one summer. In later years now, he's worked in mining as a welder, fabricator. He's good at about anything. When you're a stagehand, you've got to have a wide variety of skills.

CHAPTER TWO

RM: So you were working for mining companies while here, but also going to Vancouver and places.

NB: That was part of it because the head office would be up there.

RM: How long did that go on?

NB: I'm trying to think. We stopped working for Sunshine in 2000.

RM: That was when you were guarding the mill.

NB: Well, we sort of were their representatives. If the environmental people showed up, we took care of that, too.

RM: It was an operating mill?

NB: No, it was mothballed. But we didn't just watch it; we showed it and made sure that nobody got hurt up there.

RM: Tell me about the Sunshine Mill and Mine. What were they doing?

NB: It was silver. Originally it was built for the Sixteen-to-One mine that's uphill from the town of Nivloc, over by Silver Peak. It was built in 1980, I think.

RM: Was it a big deposit of silver?

NB: Yes, it was. The mill was wonderful. It was the nicest little mill, and it's too bad it's not around anymore now.

RM: It doesn't exist now?

NB: The government got after them and said it was time to reclaim it. They wouldn't let it just stay there anymore. I think it was NDEP or BLM, one or both of them—the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection and the Bureau of Land Management. We had watched it for a number of years and they had a lot of people with options on it and

they'd be in and out. Actually, we were one of the money-making cost centers of Sunshine Mining because of those options. They were getting, like, \$100,000 a month in these options. They always had somebody optioning off the mills, so it would work for them; but finally the government types came by and I could tell by the way they were talking when they showed up for a site visit it was like they were saying, "Okay, we're going to make it go away now. You're going to have to do reclamation."

I got hold of the people in the head office up in Boise, Idaho, and I said, "I think you'd better get down here." But they forced them to reclaim it.

RM: Was the mine exhausted?

NB: It wasn't exhausted but they would have had to start a new portal to get down to more ore bodies. It was underground, so they had a haulage portal and mining portal and they would have had to have done more mining to get down to the ore bodies.

RM: There's still a big ore body under there?

NB: Conceivably, yes. But all of this was going on when the Hunt brothers did the silver thing, and they were the primary owners of Sunshine Mining.

RM: The Hunt brothers owned the Sunshine; did they buy it when they were trying to corner the market on silver?

NB: No, they had owned it before.

RM: When did it quit operating, do you know?

NB: It's operated a couple of times, actually. It stopped, I think, in '81 or '82 but then it opened up as a custom mill, running ores other than the Sixteen-to-One. When you do custom milling, it means that you have a couple hundred tons of ore you need run so you come to my mill and I mill it for you and then get part of the proceeds. That went on for some years, which might have brought it up to '85 or even later. Then this company

called Zephyr bought it. They bought the Mary Mine property and they also optioned off this mill to use it to process the ore from the Mary Mine.

RM: Where is the Mary Mine?

NB: The Mary Mine is just slightly north of Silver Peak.

RM: Was it a big mine, too?

NB: Yes, it's huge and very historic.

RM: Is it a silver mine, too?

NB: It's a gold mine. There's the Mary Mine, the Drinkwater Mine. You know where the volcano is?

RM: Yes.

NB: They're across from the volcano. The Sunshine Mine is the other way, and that tends to be silver. Silver Peak had just a phenomenal amount of silver production years back. The Mary Mine goes way back to the 1800s. It's been open, closed, open, closed, open, closed.

RM: Before Tonopah?

NB: Oh, yes, all of this was before Tonopah. Silver Peak was around when we became a state in 1863, and Tonopah and Goldfield didn't come along until the early 1900s.

RM: Just out of curiosity - I come from a mining background - what kind of mill was it?

NB: It was a ball mill, a crusher. You had a grizzly screen, a jaw crusher, and a cone crusher, and then they had a fine ore bin. They had screens up there to classify it; if didn't meet the size it kept rotating back to the cone crusher. It went from the fine ore bin into the ball mill, and then it went up to CCD, the counter current decantation circuit. The lights swirl this way; they have you swirl that way. It picks up the gold in solution, and

then it comes back in the building and you put zinc in under pressure and then you send it up to the belt press. The zinc will drop the gold out of the solution.

RM: And what was their capacity? Was it big or was it pretty small?

NB: It was not real big. We could do about 35 tons an hour in the ball mill 24 hours a day, I think.

RM: That's big where I'm from.

NB: It was small compared to what something like Round Mountain Gold puts out. I'd have to look up the specs; it's been quite a few years now.

RM: Sure. I was just curious. Meanwhile, they had tailings, right? Were they running into environmental questions from the authorities because of the tailings?

NB: No, because it wasn't closed down. They'd let them stay there because we kept everything up nice and they knew that there was somebody there so people wouldn't get hurt. But finally they said, "No, we've had enough of you. We won't let you keep this here any longer. You must do something about it." That nice little mill would be really wonderful today when all the prices are up and everybody needs a mill, if it was still standing. But at that point Sunshine Mining was forced to find someone to do reclamation and sell off the buildings. A lot of the mill equipment right now is on the Texas-Mexico border. And then the BLM, somehow or another, managed to sell off the acreage to a private person, and some of the buildings are still there.

RM: You mean the acreage that the mill sat on?

NB: Yes, and some of the buildings are still there. It's like a junkyard up there now. It used to be a well-kept clean-cut thing and now there're pieces of sheet metal flying around. This man has brought his collection in.

RM: Did they make them clean up the tailings?

NB: Yes, that got cleaned up.

RM: How did they reclaim that?

NB: They had been sitting there a long time. There wasn't any cyanide problem or anything. They just profiled the area, so it really hadn't been a problem.

RM: So in terms of our mission on this project, you had firsthand experience where the federal government, as well as the state government, came in and said, "All right, you've got to do something about this."

NB: Right, and instead of something that used to look halfway decent, every time I drive by it, I'm looking at this ugly thing.

RM: And an old mill can be a good tourist attraction.

NB: And it was quite functional.

RM: Yes, and as you say, maybe it would be used now.

NB: It's always been rather a puzzlement to me how that got sold because in the resource management plan with the Bureau of Land Management, that wasn't designated as a disposal area to be sold to a private party.

RM: How many acres are we talking about?

NB: I think it's about 25.

RM: Are there any mills now in Silver Peak?

NB: No.

RM: So anybody that finds a little streak of gold out there is out of luck for getting it milled there?

NB: For a mill, yes. I don't know what Mineral Ridge is doing right now, but before, they were trying to heap-leach the gold from the Mary Mine.

RM: Out there on site?

NB: Yes.

RM: That involves a lot of hoops to jump through, doesn't it, to set up a heap-leaching operation?

NB: Yes. The first time that got permitted, I helped write the plans. [Laughs] When Mineral Ridge was open the first time, a Canadian company had it and I helped do some of the work when it was getting permitted. Then I ended up being their administrative superintendent when it actually got going.

RM: Was that an arduous process?

NB: I wouldn't say arduous. It's very lengthy and extremely expensive because it's sort of a specialized field. They also want some sort of vetting, so it's like an individual like me can't sit down and just write this. Even though I know it, it's not my field of expertise so you have to have somebody come in like an environmental scientist, for instance, to address that part and have their name on that. Even though I've been exposed to it before in a practical way, I don't have the proper credentials.

So that's what happens. A company is forced to have specialized professionals contribute to this process because it does need to be vetted. Not just anybody can sit down and do it. And you run into things like their Excel program rounds off acreage differently than yours does. So the Bureau of Land Management comes back with a comment saying, "We feel our calculations of acres disturbed is 1,020.02," and you had 1,020.015.

RM: They get that picky?

NB: They get that picky and they still are that picky. [Laughs]

RM: So you've had firsthand experience with this kind of issue. We used to mine in Nye County back in the '50s and if you wanted to start a mine, hey, go out and start a mine. Want to build a road up the mountain? Go ahead and build it. You could do

anything you were big enough to do, basically. Now it's a whole new ball game, particularly for the little guy. Talk about that in terms of environmental regulations and what it's done to the mining industry, particularly the little fellow or little woman.

NB: Between what the federal government requires and the state government requires and the new fees that they're charging for all miners, I think it's practically put the little operator out of business. If you're a smaller company, you will be forced to hire an engineer to help you get through the permit even if you are on private land.

RM: Even if you're on private land?

NB: On private lands you need the blessing of Nevada Department of Environmental Protection. Even if you're working on patents, you have to have their blessing. If you're on public land, you've got to file a plan of operation and a reclamation plan if you're going to do anything but hand mine with the BLM, and that goes through both them and Nevada Department of Environmental Protection. It makes it very expensive to start up.

RM: What are the fees? Say I found a streak of gold out here somewhere.

NB: I have no idea what it is anymore; we'd have to look that up. That's one bad thing about me - I'm not good with remembering numbers. I've worked with numbers so long that when I'm done with a number, it's gone, because if I ever acquired a real good memory for numbers, I'd have gone insane a long time ago. It's a good thing when you're doing people's taxes because they'd call you up to say, "How much money am I getting back or do I have to pay?" and the only thing I could ever tell them was if they were getting a refund or had to pay some money.

But let's back up a little bit - I realized we didn't explain properly how Nancy Boland went from tire and rubber to mining. United Tire and Rubber made tires for mining and forestry uses so I spent a considerable amount of my time while I was in

Canada at mines and in very remote places. In the process of all of this, I learned to run almost every piece of mining equipment known to man, at least enough to move it around if you needed to. Now, mining is a very incestuous community. It's a small community and people tend to travel from one firm to another; once you have a core group that you know, people cross over an awful lot. Geologists and mining engineers kind of travel from one firm to the other. Even though I was an accountant with a tire company, I also spent an awful lot of time with mining on this side of the border and that side of the border because we had holdings on both sides.

I had known my current husband as a friend, and he was working down there as the bartender. The owner had been featuring my husband's name in radio advertisements that he was the bartender because he has a rather colorful life story himself. [Laughs] He's sort of an icon he does things like go on pack trips across the United States. Anyhow, he was at the Gables and he's worked as a cowboy several different times at Lida Ranch and done water jobs, too, at the RO Ranch and at Lida. He happened to be bartending down there and there was a guy I was involved with who I wanted to get away from. I told my friend Dennis, "I've got to get out of here."

He said, "We'll get you out, and if you want to get on the plane and go to your folks, fine." So we took off one night and as we were leaving town, we were saying, "We've always been really good friends," and we got to talking. We figured we'd give it a try so we disappeared for a while. The sheriff's office thought I had been killed or something because we never said anything to anybody, and Dennis had a tendency just to disappear anyway. Nobody thought much about him not being around but when I didn't show up, they were a little worried.

So we kind of went on little adventures where he worked cowboying and I might

have been cooking. We did quite a bit of wandering around and enjoying life. [Laughs]

RM: How long did you do that?

NB: It was about six months or so, and then we went to work over in Silver Peak when Homestead was there, then we took off again. We went up to Eureka for a little while, and he was doing some cowboy work and helping out on a hay ranch up there, then came back again. So we'd been in and out of Goldfield a couple of times, but not for too long.

CHAPTER THREE

RM: That does a good job of establishing your background. What do we want to discuss next?

NB: Do you want to keep talking about how expensive it is to mine?

RM: Yes, and particularly the role of the environmental law.

NB: It is oppressive to both small and large operators. You need reclamation bonds and reclamation permits, and reclamation bonds can be millions and millions of dollars because you have to go through a bonding company to make sure that the entire cost of your reclamation is covered if the bond has to be pulled. It costs as much to make the earth go back as it took to get the gold out of the ground sometimes, so that's a bad thing. Years ago, you didn't have to get the bond; you just had to have the reclamation plan. When Sunshine Mining first started up in the 1980s, they didn't have to have a bond. And you have to have air-quality permits, water-quality permits, and water-discharge permits. Depending on what process you're using, you could need all of the above. You may even have to have permits for hazardous materials.

RM: Which would include things like dynamite?

NB: Even diesel fuel can be a hazardous material. If it gets on the ground, that's an environmental disaster these days. Any kind of petroleum product anywhere on the ground is bad—oil, gas, diesel.

RM: So the little guys, the little miners, who were really what central Nevada was founded on, are gone.

NB: They're out of it. Most of the people who would have been a small miner have figured out now that the best thing they can do is sell to a big company, which is

something most of us know anyway, because we know how expensive it is to actually get a mine started. Anymore, they're pretty well precluded unless they're taking their little itty-bitty machine out there and doing it as a weekender.

Our state hasn't helped them lately, either, because they've come up with a new fancy extra fee that all the miners have to pay; they increased those fees tremendously. The state did that when they had a special session in 2009. They added additional fees that the miners have to pay when they come in and record claims or when they do any proof of labor or intent to hold. It's a lot of money and it's forced a whole lot of people out of the game or to give up a lot of claims.

RM: That's amazing. Now, what other things about mining in this part of the country have you had firsthand experience with?

NB: I've had actually quite a lot of experience because when I was in Tonopah the first go-round working for Mountain States Tire, as it was called at that point, that was at the height of the Sagebrush Rebellion. The tire store is located right next to the Golden Hills, which was quite the gathering point for some illustrious leaders of that movement.

RM: Who were some of the leaders of the Sagebrush Rebellion?

NB: Senator Rick Blakemore, Dick Carver, and Wayne Hage.

RM: When would this have been?

NB: That was in '79, '80. They would frequently gather at the Golden Hills for lunch or dinner, or at Jerry's. I remember many a time listening to their conversations close by.

RM: For the record, what was the Sagebrush Rebellion all about?

NB: It was all about the government making it hell to make a living. It was about government coming in and shutting down a road, coming in and telling you where you can graze your cattle and where you can't graze your cattle. The government comes in

and says, "You can only get to this water if I let you get to this water." It was felt that the Bureau of Land Management, in particular, was being oppressive to the people who lived here.

RM: And as a result, there was this rebellion.

NB: It was a pushback. None of these people started the problem. They reacted to what they felt were things that were wrongfully done to them, like road closures. Or, as you said, a man or woman had a mine up in a Forest Service area and was told that they couldn't get to their property and no one would turn around and pay them for what they lost on their property. Or the government said, "You can't get to your water unless we tell you you can get to your water," and that water was owned by the rancher. It was a piece of property owned for a beneficial use by a rancher or a miner. That's what it was all about.

RM: And these regulations essentially rendered these holdings worthless.

NB: Right, with no offer of restitution and no recognition that these things were owned. I think that that's what really bothered everybody - it was as though they didn't count, and the government was saying, "You will only do what we say you will do and there will be no questions." There were a lot of, I think, onerous law enforcement activities in this area taken on by the federal government at that time.

RM: Could you give some examples?

NB: I wasn't there personally. I've heard a lot of that secondhand and I know that's what caused it to come to a head. The people were standing up for their rights, especially with the roads at that time.

RM: Did you sit in on any of these informal meetings with Dick Carver and the others?

NB: No, but I'd hear them and I knew all of the people; we'd all say howdy. I wasn't

one of the plotters and planners, but I knew what was going on. I admired and understood what they were doing.

RM: Were there any miners or mining companies that you were involved with that were involved in this movement?

NB: In an odd way, Sunshine Mining put up a very good fight. There was an area that ended up being designated a wilderness study area outside of Silver Peak in about 1979. Sunshine Mining submitted comment after comment after comment about there being mineral values in that area. They actually got a couple of claims in there that somebody still has right in the middle of this wilderness study area.

They worked diligently to try to stop that from being declared wilderness, or even a study area, and they were on the winning side of it until the environmental groups took action. The Bureau of Land Management actually decided that it wasn't suitable for a wilderness area because of a lot of the input they'd received from different mining companies and the local people, too. But there was a lawsuit brought against BLM by environmental groups and it ended up being a wilderness study area, which it still is today.

RM: What does that designation do to these places?

NB: It's just like being wilderness.

RM: You can't do anything.

NB: No, and it's all non-motorized. But there are still inholdings, claims, and whatnot in there.

RM: That you can't work or even drive a vehicle to.

NB: It would be very difficult, yes, unless you were going up there with some mules and a pick and shovel kind of thing.

RM: Could you do it then with a mule and a pick and a shovel?

NB: As long as it's not motorized, yes. Knowing how well they all stick together, I'm sure all the mining companies were on the same page. They tried very, very hard to keep that area out of a wilderness study. Having been through their files, I know there's comment after comment after comment, and I'm sure it was the same with the issues of the Sagebrush Rebellion because that's what was pushing everybody to create the rebellion.

RM: What's your assessment of the problem now in terms of wilderness and so on?

NB: The problem now is a lot like the problem then. The more wilderness that's declared, the less land that's available for mining or other uses. Right now, Esmeralda County has a really bright future in energy production. They have an awful lot of geothermal resources in the Clayton Valley where Silver Peak is, and in Fish Lake Valley, which is where Dyer is. Both are amply blessed with geothermal. For us to prosper, we need to be able to: 1) make sure that somebody can get in there and build a facility to do it; and 2) have a way that this power could get sold somewhere - we need to transport it. Nevada won't be able to use all the power that's produced through these alternative sources ultimately with what's planned here right now.

RM: There's over-capacity?

NB: They've filled their renewable portfolio required by the NRS. The ideal thing would be to be able to sell it to California. The problem might be that if more wilderness is declared in this county, transmission could be completely blocked.

RM: So this area of Nevada will be able to produce a lot of electric power with no way to transmit it?

NB: Right. And if that's the case, we won't be producing a lot of power because if

there's no market for it, nobody's going to buy it; I see that as a big problem. If that land gets locked up, it stays locked up because it's done with an act of Congress. If it becomes wilderness, you're going to need a right-of-way before it becomes wilderness because if that right-of-way's not already in there or a road isn't included in the wilderness plan then it's locked up.

RM: What route would that power take?

NB: The state of Nevada, Nye County, Esmeralda County, and Inyo County have all been cooperating on this project. The way we would like to see it done is to either use the route that comes out of Silver Peak - there's a power line that is kind of famous on its own from Enron, - anyway - that goes over to Oasis and continues on in California.

RM: It goes over Oasis and down into the Owens Valley? Does it go over the White Mountains out of Oasis?

NB: Yes. So that's one route, but it probably won't work because it's a really old line.

RM: What about making it bigger?

NB: That might happen and that's one possibility because you've already got a power line. It eases the regulations in getting that permitted because it's already on the books. Another route that probably would work, but I think is going to have a problem, is one the state proposed - they talked about running one from about Lida Junction and cutting into California that way, going south of Lida. The map I saw was not very detailed. The only way that's going to happen is if they use what we call Oriental Wash, but that comes very, very close to the Death Valley National Park and it comes very, very close to one of these wilderness study areas we already have. If those weren't there, it'd be an awful lot easier to find a good route.

RM: What do you do when you get it over to California, say to the Owens Valley?

Does it go to L.A.?

NB: Yes, and a lot of it goes in and out of Vegas, actually, because their power lines go in and out of Vegas.

RM: Have you given any thought over the years to a nuclear reactor in Esmeralda County?

NB: I haven't given that that much thought because most of the nuclear reactors I knew about need water for cooling, I don't know if they've got some kind of new one that doesn't need the water.

RM: Yes, they've got low-water ones and they've even got them that run without water.

NB: If they have them that run without water, it would probably be worth it.

RM: Is there much water here?

NB: No, there's very little water. They're in horrible straits in Fish Lake Valley.

RM: I didn't know that.

NB: That's been a closed basin for probably 15 or 20 years, and that's just with the permitted uses. The permitted uses, I think, surpass their yield by two or three times.

RM: So the water table's dropping.

NB: Yes, it's dropping, but that's just permitted uses. There's nothing in that figure accounting for domestic wells. If you add on the number of domestic wells times two and a half acre-feet, if they're all using that amount, the situation really is ugly. We're working, actually, on water plans.

RM: What are your thoughts on the water plan, in a nutshell?

NB: It's important for us to have a plan because it gives us some ammunition. If we don't have a plan for our water, then somebody could come in and take what little water

we have; it's important that we have a plan so everyone knows exactly what the status is. I've heard people say, "Oh, you got water," but they haven't looked on the water inventories at the state engineer's site, and a lot of them don't understand that when they look at that, it doesn't include everybody's little domestic well.

The BLM has a solar PEIS (programmatic environmental impact statement), which is a big wide study like an EIS, environmental impact study, but for a much wider area. They did one for the western states for possible solar project locations, and one of the places that the field office here at Tonopah picked was down by Gold Point because it was flat and there weren't any mining claims on it. My first comments back to that were, "There's no water there." There're only 300 acre-feet of water in the basin.

RM: That won't go far.

NB: No, 300 acre-feet is what you need for maybe 150 people, and that's if they're on a municipal supply, not irrigating. That was one comment and the other one was, "There's no big power line there." It's in the Valley Electric system and everything was engineered just to supply, for instance, a light bulb down in Gold Point or Lida and they didn't build more than they needed for that use. They're very small lines, and to go build lines down there, I said, just doesn't make sense.

RM: Just to inject one of my interests here, there's a big power-producing center down in Phoenix called Palo Verde. It's three 1000-gigawatt reactors and they pay \$45 million a year property taxes to the county there, plus they've got 2,400 good jobs. I'm thinking, why can't we have these kinds of things, one or more, in Central Nevada?

NB: We can't because the government has all the land and they won't site something like that here. That's another thing we've been working on with Nye County. It's like this geothermal issue - they're leasing ground for that and the federal government has

decided they love stuff like this now— geothermal or solar projects, or wind. So you can go out there and lease it for that, but you can't lease it to put up a manufacturing plant.

RM: That's another thing that's inhibiting it, isn't it?

NB: Yes. We are at the whim of whatever policy happens in Washington. And not just this county, but that has historically been the problem. It's very difficult, as an elected official, to develop any kind of plan for the future because you don't know what they're going to do to you next. I've been through this. When Yucca Mountain is on the table, you need to try to revolve your plans around Yucca Mountain because you say, "All right, they've passed this law." So you need to put that in your plan and that precludes you doing other things, perhaps, that you would like to do. You can't, say, lobby to put a manufacturing plant or something along the rail line they were proposing for Yucca Mountain because they might come back and say, "We need three miles right-of-way for the line," even though ultimately they're only going to need maybe 500 feet. But when they withdraw the land and the people in the Bureau of Land Management, in their wisdom, come up with the mapping they're making decisions on, they withdraw three miles, even though they're only going to need 500 feet or maybe a quarter mile. So that's what happens.

When they make a decision on their land maps, we're stuck with it. That means what used to be multiple use land isn't there anymore except for grazing and whatnot. But when they say, "I'm going to need this possibly for a rail corridor," it's gone from other considerations. It's gone from geothermal uses. It's gone from them saying maybe we could put one of those solar projects here where it's equally flat. At the time the selection for solar projects was being made, the rail corridors were still on their withdrawal maps, so that meant they couldn't do anything in the Clayton Valley or in the

Alkali Springs Valley. The only places they could find were by Millers and by Gold Point, both of which are kind of unsuitable, in my estimation.

RM: Why?

NB: For Millers, you've got a solar project going on right outside Tonopah in the same water basin. Even if you try to make them efficient they still use quite a bit of water, no matter how you set them up. Anything but PV (photovoltaic) uses quite a bit, and even PV uses up water because they have to wash the panels to keep them at optimum performance. So you've got that sitting there. Now we're going to put another one on the other side, a little further down the road, right by the rest stop out there at Millers by Highway 95.

That was part of the problem I had with it. I'm going, "What good is it going to do Esmeralda County? All we're going to have is burden. We're the government that, unless we make some arrangements, has to do law enforcement, fire-fighting, ambulance service, trash, etc. All these people are going to live in Tonopah. They're not going to spend money in our county" where are they going to spend money? What good does it do us? Whereas if you had it between Tonopah and Goldfield, somebody might actually live in Goldfield." That is what I wrote.

The other problem I have with it is that one of the bigger pieces of private ground in the county is at Millers. There're acres and acres and acres out there, so it would be kind of nice if we could have something where people live, something that might actually do us some good, or something that created some jobs. These solar things, once they're built, don't carry a lot of jobs with them. So you get this big tidal wave, just like we always do when mining booms happen, and then they're all gone.

That's what drives us crazy about the federal government. First of all, we're doing

Yucca Mountain. Then we all go gaga for alternative stuff. Then they start opening these things up for leasing and whatnot. You never know which way they're going to go next.

If they decide they're going to make wilderness out of 80 percent of Esmeralda County, how are we supposed to live? They have never designated any decent space that could be sold to some private entity to, say, do a little small manufacturing facility. Right now we're trying real hard to get this land thing straightened out so that when they come up with another resource management plan maybe we could get a little community expansion.

RM: Your argument would be, what are you holding onto all this land for if it's not doing anybody any good?

NB: Right, and then that goes in a circle - it's doing the federal government good with these leases and whatnot but it's not doing us much good until something gets built.

RM: But when they build something, people will have jobs and they'll be paying taxes and so on.

NB: No, because they won't be living here. Where are they going to live? They'll be living in Tonopah, paying taxes to Nye County, and shopping at the Scolari store and the hardware store up there. So we need to have a little bit of expansion, too - some more housing. Goldfield and Silver Peak are landlocked. Goldfield not so much because there are some patented claims here, but Silver Peak is a quarter section. We're all jammed into a quarter section. If we wanted somebody to come in and build a few houses, there's no place to do it.

RM: So your argument is the government needs to let go of some of this land to develop it for the benefit of the people.

NB: Right, so we get enough people living in this county that we've got some kind of

tax base. You need that. If you don't have a job here, you leave the county.

RM: Or you're retired on a pension or something.

NB: Yes, and that's why you'll see the unemployment rate and our population go in parallel lines throughout history.

RM: What's your take on the idea that geothermal doesn't create that many jobs?

NB: It doesn't, but it'll give us taxes. And there's going to be a lot less need for a facility like that to consume vast amounts of acreage. You can put a little fence around a geothermal installation and you can still have some grazing nearby. It's not going to cut thousands and thousands of acres off from public access like these solar things could do. So I'm a lot happier with those.

RM: And solar doesn't create that many jobs, really, once it's built.

NB: No. Most of the time they've got some control room somewhere in the Midwest that takes care of it, and there's probably going to be some floating technicians to fix anything that's wrong with it.

RM: That's one of the reasons why I'm for nuclear power. It creates a lot of jobs and a lot of taxes.

NB: Well, as I said, geothermal would create taxes. For Esmeralda, we don't necessarily need a lot of jobs. We need taxes to put in the coffers. You don't really get that much out of residential taxes because you've got to provide a lot of services to them. But a geothermal plant would probably generate some good taxes. Well, that's after the abatement because the state took a lot of that money away from us, too, if they can apply for an abatement of their taxes of 55 percent.

RM: What does that mean?

NB: If your taxes were going to be \$1 million, abating at 55 percent means you don't

have to pay 55 percent of that. It's set up for 20 years for geothermal, solar, and wind.

RM: So again, the little community takes it in the shorts.

NB: Oh, it gets worse. If the abatement is granted to them, out of the remaining 45 percent, 45 of that 45 percent goes to the Nevada Energy Office to support their operation. The only part that the local government ends up with is, basically, 25 percent of what would have been the total.

RM: I had no idea.

NB: It's kind of taken away a lot of the incentive to accept these things. We were promised four times in this last legislature that they were going to change the money that was going to the Nevada Office of Energy back to the local counties. But the state needed it more than we did. It would make a big difference, Bob, a big, big difference.

CHAPTER FOUR

RM: What do you see as the big holdups on doing something progressive? Is it our Washington leadership or our state leadership?

NB: For Esmeralda County, it's Washington. It's like the resource management plan. It's been in place about 30 years now and in that plan you're supposed to be anticipating everything you might need, like land for sale, where a power line's going to go, or any of that. And look at how much has changed in 30 years.

RM: It's a different world.

NB: It is a different world. Now they're updating our plan but the regulations are so onerous when you try to change any of this stuff.

RM: If we had political leadership in Washington, could that be changed?

NB: Yes, because that's where the regulations emanate from, legislation.

RM: So our congressional delegation could put pressure on them to say, "Let's change these."

NB: Yes, and sometimes they ease up even when we have different presidents. It depends who they appoint as Secretary of the Interior and so forth. Actually, there has been a pushback. Secretary Ken Salazar issued something that he sent out to the people in the Department of Interior saying that they were supposed to inventory all the public lands again for wilderness. He called it the wild lands designation. We, among many other counties in Nevada and probably some other western states, saw that and we just went livid because the way we looked at it, they did an inventory of all the public lands in the '70s. To continually inventory to look for the wilderness characteristics that he talked about, these characteristics weren't the same ones that are in the Wilderness Act, for one,

and the whole thing was really fuzzy. It was sort of like, "If it looks good, call it wild."

Our problem in the state of Nevada was that, okay, if we're in a mining slump, a lot of these areas that still have mineralization recover on their own. If you're looking at it then, you say, "Well, this might be classified to be managed like wilderness." Once you do that, when the mining comes back, "Oh, well, no, we're managing it as though it were wilderness. There's not going to be mining there." That means that eventually it turns into wilderness if it didn't start that way.

So we all really had a problem with that one, and I know in Nevada we got ahold of Dean Heller right away about it and he fought to get that overturned. It has been overturned now. The Republicans pretty well did that. I think in some respects these people don't even know the implications of some of these pronouncements they come out with. I bet Salazar wasn't even looking at it that way. When this came out, they were probably thinking "There may be lands that we would want that are smaller than the classic wilderness area that we want to try to take care of." I would hope that that would be the case but I have my doubts. I think they were doing exactly what I think they were doing, making it all into wilderness. But the pushback there helped.

RM: What would happen if they did an inventory for resources for economic development? Not to trash the place but to say, "Okay, this is a good site for some economic development," of whatever form and so on, and help get things going that way so that some land could then be released?

NB: That would be nice, and that's what's supposed to happen during the resource management planning process. But for the state of Nevada right now, even if something is already in the plan that could be sold, there's no money in the Bureau of Land Management's Nevada state budget to do that so it's a moot point. Even if it was already

designated, it wouldn't happen because they don't have the money. It's the same problem we have with the horses.

RM: Talk about the horses.

NB: They don't have enough money to manage the horses in a way that they'd like to. They don't even have enough money to feed the horses. They've got so many right now that they're trying to feed they run out of room to keep them, which holds up the horse gatherers, which means that the horses are populating more and more. The poor BLM are stuck between a rock and a hard place because they want to try to do the right thing but they have a lot of these people who do not understand.

RM: Of course, the wild horse issue involves a discussion of the range.

NB: Over the years there has been continual reduction of cattle grazing in Esmeralda County and for a certain time there, there was expansion of horse range mostly by the numbers of horses. We historically have had a problem here with overpopulation of the horse herds on the public lands and have often had to remind the Bureau of Land Management that certain areas were overpopulated and the horses were creating problems. They do eventually gather them.

Historically, they have not kept good track of the populations by doing head counts. They're doing better now, by the way. But this has caused some problems for wildlife and for people who have cattle allotments, especially in recent years where the people who run cattle are generally mandated to haul water to their cattle rather than using natural waters.

RM: They can't use the natural water?

NB: In most circumstances, no.

RM: Why?

NB: It's because of regulation changes at the federal level. It used to be that if you had a grazing allotment you had to have a base camp within the allotment and you had to have a certain amount of acreage within the allotment.

RM: But you owned the base camp?

NB: Yes.

RM: So it was deeded land.

NB: Right, you had to have that. Things changed over the years and now, you need to have room to put your cows, but it doesn't have to be in that allotment - years ago you had to have it within the allotment. The idea is that you need to have a place where you could take all of your cows and if you needed to feed them, and put them somewhere.

The situation now is that there are instances where people who, for instance, are grazing cattle here but don't actually live in Esmeralda County or aren't land owners in the county, and what used to be large allotments have been split into several different leases. So it's created a change about where cattle occur and who owns the water.

Under the old arrangement, if you had a ranch - Lida Ranch is a good example - because that had historically been the center of that grazing activity over the years, they acquired stream rights and spring rights and they kept those developed and used the water through pipelines to water cattle.

What's happened now is because the people who might be grazing at Lida Ranch no longer own the ranch, they no longer have the water rights. And the Bureau of Land Management has discouraged keeping these springs up. So now we have the situation where it was helpful for someone on horseback on a pack trip or people who were out camping to have pipelines and troughs where they could get some water. You might not want to drink it yourself, but there was water available, or in an emergency you could

probably get it out of the pipeline before it got into the trough and have something that wouldn't kill you.

A lot of that flow has now ceased and the springs have gotten down to the point where they are not even really good for wildlife anymore because they are not developed. The spring boxes have collapsed and there is no flow from them like there used to be. To me that's not only bad for cattle grazing and people enjoying the public lands, but hauling water causes a lot of damage on our roads and it makes it more expensive for people who are grazing cattle.

RM: So the cattle raisers have to haul water to their cows because they don't have the water rights and can't use them legally, and the water source is not being well-maintained and you have a whole deterioration?

NB: Right.

RM: What do you see is the root cause of that problem?

NB: I think it's actually because of federal policies. Once you disjoint the grazer from the historic center of the activity, it creates a problem. It used to be that the water went with the operation and the operation was centered on that land. When you separate that unison, it's a problem. We did have one local cattleman who has grazing in the Yellow Hills and part of the Montezuma allotment. He hauls water from Silver Peak out to tanks. Not only do they have to move those tanks around but they have to use a water truck or something else that holds a lot of water to get water to them.

RM: And there is a natural water source in the area, but he just can't use it?

NB: Right. Or there are some waters that are close to Goldfield, for instance, but they're in a horse-grazing area. They are not in the cow-grazing area and so he couldn't use those.

RM: Can the horses graze on a rancher's allotment?

NB: Oh, yes. That's the other problem with the horse overpopulation because, of course, nothing really stays where it's supposed to. They are hauling water and the cows are going to know where the water is; but the horses go everywhere. They aren't fenced in so they end up being wherever the food is. The horses force the cows away from the water because horses do that sort of thing. Burros will, too.

RM: Oh, really? They displace the cows?

NB: They won't let them come in. They guard water from wildlife, from cows, from anything. So there's a lot of damage. And some of the springs are marginal because horses will go in there and rip up the ground trying to get their water and they won't allow other animals, like mule deer or antelope, in there. Well, that's why you use horses to gather cows - they naturally will do that in their herd unit. They have sentries. If you see burros or horses near water there are going to be some sentries out there and they'll watch while the other ones go in and drink. They take turns and stay around and have a bite to eat. Even another herd won't come in when one herd is there.

So that creates problems for the ranchers. And if they don't control the populations there is overgrazing. There are places in Esmeralda County that are pretty well devoid of any vegetation for that reason. One is between Tonopah and Goldfield; it's called the Alkali Springs Valley. What happened is there are two horse areas - one is called Paymaster, closer to Tonopah, and the other is Montezuma, which is closer to Goldfield. They were very overpopulated and I think a year or a year and a half ago they did gather and took off horses to get it down to the populations that are supposed to be there. But they'd eaten that thing just bare. It's completely barren, especially between the two natural waters.

They were watering at the sewer ponds outside of Tonopah, the effluent, and watering at a hot spring that's on the Silver Peak Road. They'd go in between those two and that whole center was eaten down to nub. It was so bad down that the grass would probably never come back; all that's in there now are the California poppies. You'd see this big bunch of California poppies and the types of sage that horses and cows don't eat. The cows don't eat poppies, either. Anything that was edible they just ate down so far it'd probably never come back.

RM: In your view, when did the competition for range between the horses and the cows become a problem?

NB: The damage wasn't so severe when I first came, let's put it that way. There was a wide range of horses and cattle grazing at the time. I think it is more because of overpopulation, but we had that drought for so many years, too, and that didn't help things. But they don't really have flexibility at the federal level. Once they say, "Okay, here's the area and here is the horse population," they can't really change that. The Wild and Free-Roaming Horses and Burros Act of 1971 came in that set this up, and wherever the horses were then, they are supposed to be now.

They have removed the horses from the Silver Peak range because there just wasn't enough feed for them. They gathered them all up, but they did leave burros and if they found mules they left them.

I also have a problem with not letting them rotate some grazing. For quite a few years when there was no permit for Lida's which is actually Magruder, they call it, Magruder and Mount Jackson graze's there were no cows there for quite a number of years. That is a really heavily vegetated area on the top of the Palmetto Mountains. There hadn't been anything in there in a long time and it was really getting overgrown and I

pointed that out and they did actually let some cows in there. I said, "Why don't you get something up there to graze?" It's for fuel reduction we have one little place back there that's burned twice, and when it burned it just grew back all up in tall grass, which makes it an even worse fire hazard.

They did finally let some back in there, at least for seasonal grazing, because that will at least keep the grass closer to the ground and keep it healthy instead of having a lot of dead grass lying down year in and year out.

Years ago when we could still slaughter horses, I don't think the horses were near the problem they are now.

RM: You mean they would round them up and gather them to sell for dog food and so on?

NB: Right. And way back, the ranchers used to be able to gather them and use them for something. As it is, right now the BLM's whole budget goes to just feeding what they've got. They don't have room to put a lot of them in their corrals so that restricts their ability to gather up overpopulations. It's a big circle and I think it's really cruel to these horses to let them be overpopulated. I like seeing them out there, but not when you're seeing something that is skin and bones. They end up defeating the purpose of people putting wildlife out there because that hurts the deer and antelope populations.

RM: Are the deer and antelope down in terms of numbers in your domain?

NB: I think the antelope has gone up. We never used to have antelope when I first came here, then they seeded some of them. I refer to them as long-legged horned jackrabbits because they are really a nuisance on roads.

RM: Because you run into them?

NB: Yes, and they're not scared of anything. They just stand there in the middle of the

road; they've become so used to traffic. There were quite a lot of deer and I don't know if the deer population has gone up or down, honestly. I suspect that that has more to do with the mountain lion population. One thing I've noticed is that we haven't had so many lion hunters through the area. We used to have seasonally quite a few who would come in and hunt mountain lion, so it's hard to know. I still see quite a bit of deer when I'm out and we have a lot more mountain sheep now, too, than before.

RM: Where do you see more mountain lions and so on?

NB: There are a quite a few mountain lions on the Palmettos. I imagine there are some higher up in the Silver Peaks, too, because that's where the sheep are - you see them at the higher elevations in the Silver Peak range. They've come back a lot. You don't see mountain lions all that often. The last time we saw one, there was a cub on the side of the road that the mother must have left. We were heading up towards the Palmettos and kind of where it was flat, we noticed something on the side of the road and went back and there was a little mountain lion cub. He ran. He had been asleep.

It was where the drainage is on the side of the road, and we just happened to notice it kind of by the berm there. And sure as the day is long, it was a little cub. My husband said something about getting out to take a picture and I said, "I don't think that's a good idea. Mommy may be lying down there, too. We'll just remember we saw him and go on our happy little way." That was down in the flats, really out in the open, so I think they were down there hunting antelope.

RM: Since you've come into this country, what's the status of ranching now?

NB: It's not as local as it used to be and it's a lot more splintered, rather than having the great big outfits. I'm just going to guess that the cattle headcount has probably gone down simply because of some of those changes that I mentioned, but it's still a very

strong component of our economic future.

RM: But the ranches are smaller?

NB: Well, because of what's happened, you might have a guy who lives in Fallon who's grazing cattle here so in his head count, he gets that little part of the ranch. There was a big ranch in Fish Lake Valley - the owner passed away a couple years back - that was quite a big grazing operation. There haven't been any cattle where cattle used to be there. Actually, his property has been divided; we'd call it a quiet subdivision. That's why I'm saying, I think the headcount's down. And also, they came up with all this stuff where you can't keep the cattle there all year long, you have to move them from summer range to winter range.

RM: Ben Colvin isn't grazing anymore, is he?

NB: I think Ben has some cows, but they're down south of here. Two of our grazers - Jack Vogt, who owned Lida Ranch, used to have all the allotments and Ben Colvin had the allotments around Goldfield and part of Montezuma also - had a problem with the government. This was after the Sagebrush Rebellion. There was an objection with the federal government by the grazers about the fees. Many of the grazers, like Wayne Hage and some others, took their rental fees and put them in trust accounts. Ben and Jack didn't put them in a trust account, they just withheld them.

Things came to a head between the federal government people and both of those guys and what ended up happening is something that the sheriff refers to as the "The Great Cattle Rustling Affair," where the feds showed up without warning and gathered the cows from Lida and they did it to Ben out here, too. Our sheriff was not happy about that because they had agreed to coordinate with him on that but they purposely waited for a time when he was gone. They were actually on private land when gathering Colvin's

cattle; they weren't even on public land. I'd like you to talk to Ken Elgan about that because he can more colorfully relate that story. But it was very tense between our law enforcement and their law enforcement over that issue.

RM: And why did the feds round them up?

NB: Nonpayment of fees.

RM: What did they do with the cows?

NB: Presumably sold them for fees. I know that Ben can tell you all the details of that. Well, I don't know if he can or not because I think he settled with them somehow or another.

RM: But it included Ben's cows?

NB: Yes, there were two separate gathers. They did Lida and then did Ben's at a different time.

CHAPTER FIVE

RM: You said a lot of environmental legislation happened in the 1970s. Did it come out of the environmental movement of that era?

NB: Most of what we deal with today was passed in the '70s; I don't know if the environmental movement caused it. I think the desire was maybe to consolidate separate pieces of legislation into one thing. That's also when FLPMA and FLCFA came out. FLPMA is the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1978. It's all-inclusive-type act that addresses the public lands. When they passed that, they repealed many parts of the old US Code, like the Townships and Public Lands Act, so you could no longer do patented claims or patent townsites on federal lands. The only thing that stayed in place was the Mining and Minerals Act. I think that was from 1872.

When FLPMA came, they wiped out everything else. That's created a bone of contention, too, because in the old law there was a thing called RS2477, which was a section of one of the older acts that said that any road that had belonged to the county, if it was there to serve a public purpose, would remain as a road under the new legislation. What ended up happening is when they put this new thing through, they didn't really repeal RS2477. So another big bone of contention we have with them is who owns the roads; and that causes a lot of trouble.

RM: Are they contending that they own the roads?

NB: When they make decisions, they have their set of data and their own maps and so on. So if some guy wants to drill a geothermal well outside of Silver Peak, they look at their map and their map doesn't have our roads on it; it only has the rights-of-way that they've granted. They'll look at their map and not even note that there is going to be any

impact from the heavy trucks and drill rigs going up and down some road that we have out there already. And they do this without notifying the county that this is going to happen. It irritates us because we don't get any money from the federal government to maintain our roads to speak of. They're the ones making the money off of this thing, and they study everything else to death. That's been a problem and it's hurt us a lot as far as their decision-making. If they don't see a road they don't know it's there.

RM: And then if they go in and mess up the road, that's just another financial burden for you?

NB: That's right. So it's important that they at least recognize the fact the roads exist.

RM: Do you know Darrell Lacy? He's the Nye County nuclear waste guy.

NB: Yes, I know Darrell.

RM: Darrell was telling me that Nye County has a hairy problem right now because NV Energy came down and took, like, a zillion miles of Nye County road and said, "Oh, we're going to widen it to 45 feet," and Nye County said, "Wait; we didn't even know you were doing that."

NB: That's right. NV Energy will go into the BLM office and say they want to apply for a Title 5 right-of-way when it's already a county road. This issue came to my attention real early on.

RM: What is Title 5?

NB: Title 5 refers to the federal codes. Title 5 is the code on public lands - it's called Title 5 through Section this and that.

RM: And is it your perception that the federal government is adequately following their own laws?

NB: I don't think so. I'll give you an example. They're working on a resource

management plan now and we went to a training in Battle Mountain. Commissioner Pappalardo and I went up for that to prepare the kick-off for the thing.

There's a section where they're supposed to have public meetings to help kick off the plan so the people are aware of it. They had meetings scheduled in Beatty, Tonopah, and in Battle Mountain, and they are also doing a northern one for Eureka and Lander counties. I brought up the fact that, "You know, there's another county in here."

RM: [Laughter]. What did they say?

NB: They figure Beatty, Tonopah let's not get off Highway 95 here. And they went, "Oh."

I said, "We have people who live in the Fish Lake Valley." It's 100 and some miles to go up to Tonopah. I said, "It's an hour drive for them to get to Goldfield, and that's shorter. Wouldn't you consider having a meeting over there? We have a pretty good little hunk of our population that lives there and we have a place you can use." But it just never dawned on them.

For the resource management plan before that, they had one meeting in Goldfield, Nevada, and that was when the thing was all complete. I know Esmeralda County probably didn't even really know that it was happening. All of a sudden this document six inches thick comes out and we are going to have a public meeting now for comment. They probably hung up a sign or publicized it in some paper that nobody around here reads. They had been getting a lot better with that and trying to communicate better, but they only have certain levels of things where they need to solicit public comment. If it's just an exploration permit and it's a small area, they don't have to tell the county that it's going on.

But for the larger projects, like if you're going to open a mine, they're compelled

to do that. Years ago with the state of Nevada, because of the way everything was done paperwork-wise, we probably missed more things than we knew about. Now you can get on their mailing list and they send you every comment period notice for the whole state. The mailing list will have all the notices you want for mining, minerals, water projects, and everything, and if it doesn't pertain to you you can just delete it. That way you can go through the state clearinghouse comment period, so that makes a big difference these days for us. The BLM doesn't have a thing like that. They say, "Well, we published it in the Federal Register."

RM: [Laughter] Yes, I read that every day.

NB: That's my morning reading material. I'm not exactly sure how do you find those out. Usually by the time I'm reading the Federal Register it's too late. When they've come to a decision they put it in there and that's when I see it. They are doing better at communicating but it could be a lot more coordinated and user-friendly than it is.

RM: Does the state have an authoritative role in these issues that we're talking about or are they kind of a bystander?

NB: They are kind of impotent, unfortunately. The state of Nevada is very much behind the counties on these issues but they really don't have any authority. Like with the road issue they've done everything that they can to help out with it. The legislators have done that and NDOT, Nevada Department of Transportation, has done that because part of what it says in the federal law is that if the state recognizes it as a road, it's a road. They have really stepped up a lot they've gone out and done their own mapping and taken their historical maps and made those available to people and really upgraded their map. Because pretty well if you prove it was there before 1979, it's an RS2477 road and it means as county people that we own the road.

RM: But the county doesn't necessarily have ownership. Is that right?

NB: Well, you could try to rely on that RS2477 clause to claim it.

RM: Are there other clauses you could use?

NB: We've actually started to do that now. They're called Title 5 right-of-ways. You can get a Title 5 right-of-way with an RS2477 road. If it's an RS2477 road, you cannot make it wider, you can't change the course. It has to follow the exact course that it had on the shut-off date. So if you want to pave it, widen it, anything like that, you need to do a Title 5 right-of-way. We've been doing that now. We didn't do it for quite some time because our concern was that if we admitted we needed the Title 5 right-of-way, what was that going to do to our RS2477 claim?

The other reason we didn't do it is because nobody told us that they could issue these right-of-ways in perpetuity. Normally, when you get a right-of-way from the Bureau of Land Management for something over public lands they're renewed every so many years. We didn't want to go there because we don't have any staff, and who's going to keep track of when you need to do a renewal? When you've got 3,700 some miles of road in a county, who's going to keep track of when road number ding, ding, ding is due for renewal on these right-of-ways? So for those two reasons, we never took them up on it.

One good thing that happened was the Nevada Association of Counties held some workshops and we sat down at the table in Carson City. We had the Bureau of Land Management state-level people there, some people from Washington on the phone, and talked about this whole issue. There were some people from Utah, too, because they have a lot of case law and they've made a lot of progress on these road issues. We were all at the table and I said what I just said to you. I said, "This is the reason we haven't gone for

these right-of-ways.ö

This person from Utah said, öWell, the Bureau of Land Management in Utah has been issuing right-of-ways to us in perpetuity and has had the clause in there that it in no way affects any RS2477 claim.ö There were a lot of eyes in that roomö there was Nye County and Esmeralda, and weö'd been in fits over this going back to Dick Carver, actually. [Laughter]

And our state people are going, öIs that correct?ö The state director was there and the guy in Washington wasn't sure, and then the main realty woman for the state of Nevada for the state office of BLM said, öYes, we can issue them in perpetuity.ö There wouldn't be a real problem, saying that this in no way overrides RS2477.

RM: So can you now ask for the right to them in perpetuity?

NB: Well, now this plot thickens. We talked about this and the state director at the time was Ron Wenker and he's really good. Ron said, öWell, yes, that's a good solution.ö They don't have a good solution for it because of case law and where Congress left this, so it's really been a problem and they were trying to be there to work it out. So he's going oh, this is a good solution. He said, öWeö'll start to issue these Title 5 right-of-ways to you guys and then your information will show up on our database and weö'll have fewer conflicts.ö

And it was Oz Wichman from Nye County who said, öHow are you going to be able to handle that workload?ö [RM Laughs]

They were saying, like, no problem. I'm looking at Oz thinking, öYeah, how are they going to handle that problem?ö They have no idea of the mileages and the number of roads. They did it for a while for us and now they've stopped doing it. They say it's because of workload.

We started applying with smaller roads, the ones that we were worried about regarding where wilderness might be. We did it that way because we didn't think that anybody would deny the existence of, like, the Silver Peak Road. There are historical maps of Esmeralda County and the main roads show as clear as the day is long, and even some of the smaller ones show clear and they haven't changed a dime, you know. We felt a little more secure with those. But for some of the roads that are a little further out, we said, "Just to make sure that the claim is clear," we started there with Title 5s. I think they stopped because they realized that we might be doing that to protect those areas from a wilderness designation. They're too busy all of a sudden to grant them to us but I know they are still granting them in other places.

RM: To Utah?

NB: Well, no. I think in Nye County, too, they keep doing it. They actually just offered to do some more Title 5s for us because it turned out that they still think they own the streets in Lida. [Laughs]

RM: It sounds like this in-perpetuity grant for roads is a solution for that particular part of the problem.

NB: Yes, it could be.

RM: Now, what's the solution for the wild horses and the grazing and that kind of thing, in your view?

NB: I really think they need to reduce the herd numbers. I don't like to see the horses killed either, but it's breaking our government, feeding these horses they've got confined. And it's not much of a life for them. There are thousands and thousands of them in these holding areas and they can't put any new ones in until the old ones die, and the horses live longer there than they do out in the wild. I think they need to start doing some birth

control on them.

RM: Can they do birth control?

NB: Yes. They don't have to surgically do anything. They can do those implants like they do in people. They've known about doing birth control for years. It's just like when you put microchips in animals. They can do it the same way and it's good for a couple of years. That's one way of helping out the situation so that they are not propagating quite as well as they do.

RM: What if there were a rule on the numbers of horses?

NB: There is a rule on numbers.

RM: But they don't follow it?

NB: They can't. They say they don't have the money or the place to put them. They're in a bad pickle on this stuff and they realize all these facts. The local governments and the Bureau of Land Management are exactly on the same page on this one. But again, changes were made and there is a no-kill policy and they only have so much money and people don't want to adopt the horses and burros so much any more.

RM: Where do the wild horse lovers, the people who feel the horses should be able to breed unrestricted and all that, fit into this equation?

NB: They are, I think, the root of the overpopulation problem. Unfortunately, there are so many horses in those impoundment areas. I would hate to see them all get slaughtered but something needs to give because of a lot of the Department of Interior's budget is devoted to these horses.

RM: I've never really understood the horse lovers' deep interest in this. I mean, horses are not native here.

NB: They're not and they're not actually wild; they're feral, you know? I think people

have a picture of "My Friend Flicka" roaming wild and free, going in the breeze and all that. I don't think they are really horse people. If you talk to people who have horses and know about them, they realize that it's probably a tough life out there for these wild horses. And if you see something that is skin and bones out there, you just feel sorry for it; or you see some poor thing out there with a broken leg and it's against the law for you to do anything. You have to find someone in charge. Which means more suffering.

RM: Are you hopeful that the horse issue will come to a more rational solution?

NB: I sure hope so, or that at least we can reduce the numbers.

RM: What about the cows and the ranchers and their problems? What needs to be done to make it better for them?

NB: One recommendation I would have is to put the cows where the water is.

[Laughs] And stop charging exorbitant fees for pipeline right-of-ways over public land when it has to do with grazing.

RM: They charge exorbitant fees for those pipeline rights?

NB: They charge exorbitant fees for waterworks even to county governments. For instance, in Silver Peak we have less than a five-acre facility and we have to pay \$500 a year plus a fee for them to look over it, which they never do.

RM: You pay 500 bucks for your pipeline right?

NB: Actually, it's about \$600.

RM: And what does a rancher pay? How long is the pipe?

NB: It's not just the pipe. It's where our wells and our water tanks sit and our pipeline. We have another little one, too, in there. That's for where the water tanks are in the 13 miles and the pipeline. I don't know what the ranchers' fees are, but they would be more because they say they're giving us a break. And they figure it by acreage, so if a pipeline

goes five miles— which some of the ones from a spring to a trough might— and it's five feet wide and five miles long, just figure how many acres that is.

RM: And presumably Tonopah's paying for their pipeline from Rye Patch?

NB: Right. We've tried to fight that fee vehemently because we feel that we're a government and they shouldn't be charging us. Both Nye and Esmeralda counties have tried to write very thoughtful [laughs] rebuttals about to why we shouldn't be paying this fee because we are not in this as a business, we are serving a public need.

That's one thing that's really irritating. And they charge market fee to ranchers or mines and everything else, but if you're a solar company or a wind outfit and you want right-of-ways, then it's \$2 an acre. Now, we have less than five acres— \$2 times five is what? \$10? Okay. We're paying \$600, so that I think really needs to be remedied. There should be some price breaks in there. So if you've got to pay all this money for a right-of-way, now how many cows do you have to sell?

RM: Do you know that? I have no idea what a cow is worth nowadays.

NB: I don't either, but even if it's a dollar a pound and you're selling them at about 300 weight, it's probably going to be more than two cows, right? That would be the recommendation— and to let the ranchers use that water as much as possible to avoid hauling water.

The rotation is a good thing, but they should be more flexible. They should keep some of it out of grazing so that if the need arises, like if a wildfire happens or there's severe reduction in vegetation in one of their leaseholders, they could move somebody over to that fallow land to give them a place to put their cows.

RM: How does a rancher keep his cows on his allotment?

NB: Water. They need that every couple of days. There are cattle guards between the

different range allotments and they do have those fenced, but water pretty well keeps them close to where they're supposed to be.

RM: Let's say that you own a spring and you want to run a pipeline ten miles and you want to take some water off of the pipe, let's say three times, to make a water hole. Can you do that? Because the line is going to be crossing public land.

NB: You'd have to get a right-of-way from the BLM for the pipe. The waterhole's a different story; the waterhole's the state. It depends on how big the waterhole is and you'd still need to have something from the BLM to have the surface right for the waterhole, but you'd have to also be talking to the state engineer because there are certain laws that have to do with ponds and dams and all kinds of things. You'd have to get an "other" surface water right for the pond from them when you did construct it.

RM: Oh, my lord. Is that expensive?

NB: It can be, yes. Especially for three ponds. That would be at least \$300 just in fees for the permit application, and then you'd have to say what your beneficial use was for the ponds.

RM: But for our discussion here, the first thing to do is to link up the grazing and the water source?

NB: Yes, as much as possible. I think, even with these old sources, it would be possible in a lot of instances. Let's talk about a situation involving Rancher 1 and Rancher 2. Now, Rancher 2 has water. He's got vested rights over here [gesturing] and Rancher 1's cows are here [gesturing]. This is cow land and that's horse land. But Rancher 1 can't use this water because he would need to have some pipeline that went from the water down to cow land from the horse land.

RM: Because his cows are not allowed in the horse land?

NB: That's right. Rancher 2 would be fine with even selling Rancher 1 this water right, but Rancher 1 would be a fool to buy it if he can't use it with all of this piping and moving or being able to move his cows up here where the water is.

CHAPTER SIX

RM: Does the government have to pay him for the horses watering in his water?

NB: No, that doesn't work that way. The only thing the government pays us for is Yucca Mountain. [Laughter] Of course, they put a government installation here, or in Nye County.

RM: And the state has done their best to punt on that one, haven't they?

NB: The state's done their best to take any kind of local revenue source we ever got from the federal government away from us.

RM: What are some examples?

NB: I've got a good one. The counties were getting 25 percent of all geothermal royalties and profits from competitive lease sales. The state got 50 percent of that and 25 was retained by the federal government. Now that got changed and we don't get it anymore.

RM: What do you get?

NB: Our 25 percent stays with the Department of Interior. The federal government wanted to keep that because they were broke. And with the state money, the 50 percent that they get is supposed to go into what is called the state school fund. The law says that if the state school fund has in excess of \$700,000 in it, the proceeds from (in this case geothermal) are supposed to be distributed back to the county of origin, in proportion to how they came in. And in 2009, they did a special session of the legislature and they said, "No, we need that money."

Now, it just so happened that that was the year that Esmeralda County had a lot of competitive geothermal lease sales and they went for a lot of money. We dumped in

about \$2.6 million into that state school fund. So there's \$600,000 that we put in the state school fund and then there's other money, of course, that came in. Under the \$700,000 rule, Esmeralda County School District should have gotten \$2 million. But it didn't; the state of Nevada took it.

RM: Legally?

NB: That's questionable, as recent court decisions have borne out.

RM: So you didn't get any of that?

NB: Well, we got their part of the consolidated taxes and property taxes, like normal.

RM: But you didn't get any of that fund?

NB: Not that bumper crop.

RM: It sounds like the counties are at the bottom of the pecking order.

NB: We are. Everybody keeps robbing our pocket, but nobody wants to help us do the things that counties are legally obliged to do like take care of the health and safety of our people. There's quite a long list in the Nevada Revised Statutes of all the things we're supposed to do.

RM: And are those things suffering as a result of these problems you're talking about?

NB: So far we've been all right with it but if it continues this way, yes, it's going to suffer. There won't be a county left. Everybody else is really suffering. The law enforcement's been curtailed. The fire protection's been curtailed. There are virtually no social programs any more.

RM: In Esmeralda County?

NB: With us, the big question was with Nye County this year because we partner with them for some senior services like a Meals-on-Wheels-type program. We also provide transportation to our seniors because there is no transportation here. We go around to the

communities once a week and pick up the seniors, and in this area we take them to Tonopah, usually, so they can have a meal at the senior center, go to the store or go to the doctor and that sort of thing. In Fish Lake Valley they go to Bishop sometimes and up here sometimes. It's the same with Beatty, the same with all of these little towns— somebody picks up the seniors so they have a way to get to town because some elderly people can't drive anymore.

That's something we've been doing for a long time. The seniors have to make appointments for this, but we also take them to bigger places, to doctor appointments. We take them to Hawthorne, Fallon, Reno, and Vegas but they have to prearrange that. That is something we were really worried that we wouldn't be able to continue to do because of this very funding issue. Thankfully, we were able to continue it this year, but that would probably be one of the first items that we'd have to stop.

RM: And then what do these people do?

NB: You'd have to ask the governor and the people in the legislature because they have gutted it. For years there have really been no social services, especially in this rural area. Mental health services was the first thing they chopped. I mean, it just goes on and on.

RM: What else have they chopped?

NB: We used to have a lot better medical care out here than we do now.

RM: And these problems all fit together, don't they? They are all interconnected.

NB: Right. The state dumped a lot of stuff on the counties this time— all the juvenile services like parole and probation services. If you need your restaurant inspected, the county has to help pay for the inspector to come down to inspect your restaurant. Instead of the state legislature saying, "Let's raise the fee that the state collects to help cover the

hole,ö they didn't. They said, "Okay, we are going to bill the county for this service. We're still going to perform the service, but we are going to make them pay for it." And if you don't pay for it there's been a veiled threat here that they're just going to withhold part of your consolidated tax and take it off the top before you even get it. There's a long, long list.

RM: As a county commissioner, this must be extremely distressing and stressful to you.

NB: It is to all of us. And it's not only just for this county, but with the Nevada Association of Counties.

RM: Is it the same for all the rural counties?

NB: No.

RM: No? Some of them have money?

NB: Well, we still have some in the bank, and Eureka does, but actually the rural counties are probably doing better than the large counties like Washoe and Clark. There was actually property tax money that was siphoned away from those two in the millions of dollars; the state took it. The state thinks that to attract these renewable energy projects, they should give them an abatement of property tax, as I mentioned before. They think that it's okay to give these people 55 percent off their property tax right from the beginning.

RM: And the county gets that property tax money?

NB: Yes, we are the primary recipient of it. They abate the county share but not the share that the state getsö they get a very small amount of property tax. Another thing the state did is that many years ago, there was an agreement between the counties and all the hospitals in the state of Nevada to solve the problem of nonpayment by indigents. There

is a little bit of property tax that is devoted to indigent care.

That little bit of property tax was always deposited in something called the Indigent Accident Fund that was set up by an agreement. That money has built over the years so that if it needs to be tapped by the hospitals, that's where they get their money and then can hold the counties harmless because the county is obliged to pay for indigent medical costs for accidents that happen in the county. The injured people don't have to be residents. So all these lovely visitors that Las Vegas wants and northern Nevada wants who pass through all of these little counties and get into car crashes here could conceivably end up with the citizens of Esmeralda County paying for their medical bills.

So what the legislature did in their wisdom, because they needed money, is take the money that was in the Indigent Accident Fund. This, again, happened in 2009. There's millions of dollars in there. Now because of this scenario, the hospitals have sort of agreed with the counties because they know the counties did not do this. They have been trying not to come back and sue counties out of existence. Conceivably for a small place like ours, one bus crash and that's it. But our people are still paying the property tax portion that was supposed to go exclusively for that indigent. So yes, they have not been too kind to us in the state of Nevada on a lot of these things.

RM: I get the feeling in talking about these different issues that the interior of Nevada, particularly away from the big cities, is kind of being hollowed out economically.

NB: Yes. When it's something that might be good for us like geothermal, wind, and solar they grant an abatement for that.

RM: It's just one thing after another that is impeding the rural counties to even almost survive.

NB: It's very, very difficult. Yes.

RM: How do you see the future for this?

NB: We are just going to have to fight as hard as we can. We have been fortunate, actually, because everybody, including Clark and Washoe, have stood pretty solid about this. When I first got elected, the counties were talking about trying to get a question on the ballot to amend the constitution to say that the state shall not have unfunded mandates. But it's really expensive to gather signatures for a statewide ballot question. You've got to hire somebody to do it because as a county commissioner it's not a good thing to be out there gathering petition signatures. And there are already laws in the state statutes that say that they're not supposed to pass unfunded mandates without providing some funding stream or doing something.

RM: That's on the books?

NB: Well, there's language to that effect - if there's more than, I think, a \$5,000 fiscal impact steps have to be taken. When you see these bills come through, they will quote that section of the NRS, Nevada Revised Statutes, and they get around it by saying, "Okay. We're going to make this exempt." So there's no winning. It's like if you sue them, they pay the Nevada Supreme Court judges' wage so who's going to win?

RM: Yes. In a way it's like the big guy eats first.

NB: The big guy does eat first. It's just very annoying. When we went to the governor's state of the union speech, we were thinking about what we might want to say to the press. I came up with the following: "Well, if you dial 911 and no one shows up, don't be surprised." I'd just tell them that. It could get to that state.

RM: This sounds a lot like the Sagebrush Rebellion, or is that kind of a different focus because it concerns the state instead of the federal government?

NB: All of these things go back to oppression of local governments by something

bigger. In the Sagebrush Rebellion case it was the feds getting heavy-handed with the citizens of the counties. They'd go back with the whole law-enforcement issue. It calms down for a while and then we start having these incidents like we had with the cattle gathering I spoke about earlier.

We had an incident here a year or better ago where they had found some marijuana up in the wilderness areas outside of Dyer. It was partially on BLM land so the BLM comes in force with their law enforcement people and they see a little place out there with a toilet and a hot pond and water. This is something the county has it's called a recreation and public purposes lease on this area, which means that we're in control of it, not the BLM.

But they see it and they're tired so they decide they want to camp here with their crew. One of their rangers goes up to people who are out there minding their business who happen to live in Esmeralda County, and tells them they must vacate this immediately, no if, ands, or buts. He is law enforcement, he's telling them to leave, and this is the public land and he can do that. So we got to another one of those situations where guns were almost drawn. It was not pretty.

RM: What happened?

NB: Well, [laughs] it did get straightened out and nobody got killed. Our law enforcement people went out there and reminded these guys that we lease this thing. It got pretty heated. Now, the BLM field office manager here in Tonopah is a really good guy. He's been around for quite some time so he understands a lot of this history and he knew what was going to happen if we just heard about this cold so he immediately called all of the commissioners and explained what happened. He said he was going to discipline the guy, have a talk with him, and he was good to his word.

But in turn, one of us put on the agenda of our next meeting something about writing a letter to complain about this incident. The young fellow, the ranger who had started this, showed up at the meeting and told us, "I've learned my lesson. I've been disciplined and I'm never going to do this again, and you probably don't really need to write this letter."

I said, "No, I think we need to write this letter" for several reasons. I tried to thump it into his head. I said, "Look, you're one man. Something our law enforcement people understand is that without the support of the citizenry, their job gets a lot worse. And they know, too, that if something bad really goes down, probably not only is a gun going to be drawn against the opposition, but they are going to have ten to 15 citizens right behind them backing them up." I said, "You need to learn to deal with our public that way, to treat them with respect and be polite instead of demanding."

I said, "The other thing is, you do not understand the history of this area and the problems that have happened in the past, and when an incident like this happens, it rubs all those wounds wide open again." And that's exactly what I put in that letter - that when they brought people here, especially law enforcement people, they should be given a lesson about some of these things that have happened in the past so that they don't go and inflame folks.

One of our county deputies would not ask people to leave in that way. If they had an emergency and they needed that area they wouldn't walk up to somebody and say, "I'm law enforcement. We have an emergency and we need you to leave, please."

RM: Did that incident make the papers?

NB: No. It's in the public record but we're never in the paper, Bob.

RM: That's another part of the problem, I think.

NB: The *Tonopah Times* ought to give up that "Goldfield News" moniker, I'd tell you right now. I've shown up more in the *Pahrump Valley Times* than I have ever shown up in this paper because Mark Waite calls me when some of this stuff happens. But no, we aren't covered.

RM: That's part of the problem, too, isn't it? They can't get the news out there and people don't know what's going on.

NB: A lot of people don't even subscribe to that paper anymore because they never talk about anything that happens here.

RM: I wonder why they don't cover your county.

NB: They say they don't get enough advertising from Esmeralda County. They might if they put something in there about Esmeralda County from time to time. [Laughs]

RM: Interesting. Now, in your understanding of the Sagebrush Rebellion, when did it begin?

NB: It was in fairly full bloom in '79.

RM: And I've heard that was the second flowering of it?

NB: Yes, it was. There were an awful lot of resolutions being passed in 1976 and 1977 having to do with roads. We've got a really nice thing that I found in our files that Dick Carver authored. I think it's when the bill that created FLPMA was passed. Things started to change and all of a sudden they're showing up saying, "I want this, I want that," because of the regulation(s) they themselves authored.

RM: In your understanding, was Dick Carver the leader of the Sagebrush Revolution or were there others who were co-leaders?

NB: There were a lot of others but Dick was the public face of it. There were others that were equally concerned. I know Senator Blakemore was very much involved on the

side of Dick Carver.

RM: Is he still living?

NB: No, he died quite a few years ago. I think his wife, Angie, dead, too. Their son's around someplace; I don't know where he lives.

RM: I used to work for his mother. She had a little house in Tonopah and I would help her clean it and paint it.

NB: They are really nice people. And the Hages were involved and I'm sure Ben Colvin was, and his brother probably had at least a minor role in it, because seeing his brother or his uncle or whoever it is, he's near the Hages' place out there.

RM: Is there more that you want to say about the Sagebrush Rebellion?

NB: Only that I'm very proud of anybody that started that and was involved in it because it taught us a lesson that if you made enough noise and you were determined enough, you could get the federal government to back down to a certain extent.

RM: And what did they back down on?

NB: They stopped trying to close roads and things like that because there were a number of lawsuits.

RM: So in the long run, or the medium run, government can be made responsive, but it takes action.

NB: It does. The same thing happened with the MX missile program that there was such a vehement opposition to that the plan was ultimately dropped. These things kind of fall together, but that's the key that you can't just say, "Oh, well, it isn't going to do me any good to express my displeasure over this because I won't make a difference." Sometimes you can make a difference. And if we had more media coverage we'd probably make a bigger difference.

RM: Maybe you could use blogs?

NB: Well, if somebody's got enough time that's a problem. And I can't blog, that's not a good idea for somebody who represents a county government. I mean, you can't be Chuck Muth when you are an elected official.

RM: You can't speak ex officio?

NB: You can, but does it do any good to your function as a representative of your county? You want to be able to access people as a logical human being who's trying to do the best you can for your people. If you're really vehement and one-sided and saying negative things all the time, you're not going to be effective. If you've got a logical reason, people will listen to it.

RM: I agree. How do you see the future of the Sagebrush Rebellion and the problems that we're talking about?

NB: I'm kind of disillusioned because I think that the people who live here now are a lot more apathetic than they were. I don't think they're as involved in issues as they once were and most don't even comprehend a lot of these issues with the range and the wild horses; I don't think it's even in their sphere. Some people understand about the roads, but not in the way I or someone else involved with this government does. Even regarding Yucca Mountain, it's like they go, "Well, if they're going to do it they're going to do it anyway so let's make some money at it if they do." And then that's fine. A lot of them, I think, are sometimes not aware of the issues.

RM: And does that augur poorly for the future?

NB: I'd say so, yes. But on the other hand, we had an advisory question on our last regular election ballot and all the counties got it on their ballots except Mineral County's clerk didn't understand how you put an advisory question on the ballot,

unfortunately. It was saying that the state of Nevada should not mandate services without giving us the ability to pay and the state should not take money away from a county government without the okay of the local county government. And that passed with very high polarity. In Esmeralda County it was like 95 percent or something who were in favor. The only county, interestingly enough, where it didn't pass was Nye County. It passed in Clark, it passed in Washoe, everywhere.

RM: What happened in Nye?

NB: That's what we were asking ourselves.

RM: It had to be Pahrump because they're the largest part of the population.

NB: Well, it didn't pass. [Laughs] I'm just saying that it won in every other county it was a resounding yes.

RM: I wonder what happened.

NB: Maybe there are a lot of people in Nye County who don't read the ballot questions and just vote no to everything. [Laughs] I put forth the lazy-voter theory to make the Nye County Commissioners feel a little better. [Laughs] There're a lot of people that just vote no to any question because they don't want any change. Because usually a ballot question involves change, and there were a lot of ballot questions that particular year.

RM: That is certainly puzzling. [Laughs]

CHAPTER SEVEN

RM: Any more thoughts on the Sagebrush Rebellion? What's your take on overgrazing versus drought, and is drought a major player now in this whole discussion?

NB: Yes. We had a good year this year, but if you're a believer in global warming, this whole scenario is going to get a lot worse. So whenever plans are made about how many head of horses or cattle can graze on an allotment, there needs to be some flexibility in the planning, some way to reduce or increase the grazing. They can do it with the cows, but they don't do it with the horses. That's a conundrum as long as things stay the way they are with the horses. They could give out permits like they do with woodcutting and let somebody who actually might do something with the horses gather "X" amount of head and that would alleviate some of the problem.

RM: Could it be an income source?

NB: It used to be. This would be instead of going through the whole adoption process. That's what they do now - they pick a horse up here and take it to Palomino Valley or wherever they're going to take it, transport it all the way up there, and then hope someone shows up to adopt it. Then whoever adopts it has to transport the horse back to someplace where you can keep a horse, which probably isn't in Reno. Or they have the prisoners train it or whatever. But at this stage of the game, because of the no-kill policy, the horses have virtually no value because of the price of feed and hay and so forth.

RM: Years ago, they used to round them up and ship them out for slaughter.

NB: They did that until they changed the law.

RM: It was an income source for the ranchers.

NB: Right, or they didn't have to buy horses. A lot of them would go out and get their

remuda horses from a herd on the range and when they were done with them, they were decent riding horses for somebody.

RM: Incidentally, I think they were also consuming them. I came across a couple of cases years ago where the story was that a stranger would come to dinner, "Um, that's good," and all that, and the host said, "Well, you liked horse meat." [Laughs]

NB: Probably. It's like they're eating a cow. But people are disconnected from that, too. They think cows are wrapped in cellophane and already butchered. [Laughter]

RM: One of the problems we talked about when we met last week is that it takes the government so long to make a plan and do something. There is a huge lag between establishing a need and the need finally being addressed by the government. Do you have any elaboration on that?

NB: The reason it takes them so long is they have to go through so many gyrations of the NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) process, considering alternatives: no action, action A, B, C, D, E, and so on. Then, if you add all the actions together, is there a cumulative effect of the actions with other things that are going on? It just goes on and on and on. That's why it takes so long to get something built, for instance.

RM: And it takes them a long time to even get to the assessment.

NB: Yes, especially if it is a great big thing like this resource management plan. We won't see that done for another five years. And when they do make these plans, they don't make them flexible, and then that's where I have a problem. My idea is called adaptive management. Say with the grazing issue when you say, these areas are where cattle will be grazing and, like I mentioned before, maybe it would behoove them to keep something in reserve in case somebody needs it or drought conditions might occur. Under adaptive management you would have that written into the plan and say this area is going

to be kept fallow or there'd be rotation available or when this gets too overgrazed they would move here and let that rest.

You could do the same thing with roads or roadless areas, or where you wanted to restrict people to stay on roads. You could say okay, this area is getting really beat down and overused; we want to have on-road-only use in this area, and keep it that way for five years or so and let the vegetation come back. In the meantime, maybe you've got something over here that is recovered that you could open up, but they never function in that respect. This road or this situation must be this way forever.

They are so specific sometimes, and that's not good. With things like grazing or droughts, like I said, there's a lot of unpredictability involved. If you get a drought or global warming, they need to have a way in the plan to take pressure off maybe this certain piece of land; they need to be able to move things around a little bit. But those plans are not built with that kind of flexibility.

Even though they say they "manage" the land, they don't. They're managing it on paper, sitting in an office and perusing a piece of paper. They do go out in the field, but by and large, those are specialists who are looking at one specific thing. When the minerals guy goes out, he's looking at the mines; when the wild horse person goes out, he's looking for the wild horses. The only time they all get together in the same room and talk about all their specialties, as they have to do with land use, is when a big project is going to occur or when they are doing something like this resource management plan.

A lot of them don't live here. They're looking at it from Battle Mountain, for instance, and they don't really go out on a field tour. They have to have a hand-in-hand relationship with local governments; there should be some money paid to local governments so that they can actually execute some of these plans.

RM: What is your take on wildernesses, and are they part of the Sagebrush Rebellion?

NB: I think all of the issues of the Sagebrush Rebellion keep raising their head from time to time. We recently had a little roundabout on wilderness. We have a little town called Gold Point that we always thought was legal, a real townsite, and it turned out that it wasn't legal and the federal government owns it. Esmeralda County charges the people down there for their houses that are on the land but it turns out they don't own the land. [Laughs] Unfortunately, the county found this out after they passed a law that you couldn't patent townsites anymore so they have been in limbo just like Ione is. There's been legislation going back to Chic Hecht trying to get them legalized, and it never goes anywhere.

So there was some discussion about how to fix this and the idea was brought up that we might consider a lands bill. At that time Mineral and Lyon counties were asked to consider a lands bill and I think the idea was that we're going to be one great big lands bill for all these counties.

Well, the problem is that in order to get a lands bill through Congress, monied wilderness groups have to go along with it. I'm hoping some of that's changing now, but that's why people want the government out of people's lives.

A congressional staffer said there should be some preliminary discussions with these people. Some people from the wilderness groups started floating by and I know they visited with Commissioner Kirby and then they came by and they were visiting with me and they wanted to go out and look at things. Some of the senator's staff came out and I took them on a bit of a tour to show them the edges of where wilderness study areas were in Esmeralda and show them a little bit of the county. None of the people from the wilderness groups said, when we were looking at something, "We think this should be

wilderness.ö They were congenial and acting as though öWe're just going to work this in where we really think it should be wilderness,ö and talking about if these areas are created you could put something in the bill to say roads would stay.

What ended up happening is that the congressional people's representative wanted to have a meeting and to talk to our people in the county about one of these bills. The day before we were supposed to have this meeting, I finally saw a map that the wilderness people were proposing for wilderness areas to put in this bill. The congressional staff were saying, öWhat do you want to see privatized?ö We have the list with Gold Pointö an exception for towns and a few recreation areas we'd like to have under our control. But I had not seen what these wilderness groups were thinking.

Two days before we were going to have the meeting they showed up in my house and the idea was that we were to review their map for roads. They had our data layerö actually, the GIS layer. I already told you how many miles of road we have. It's kind of difficult to look at the whole map of Esmeralda County where people have got these wilderness areas to make sure they're contented with all roads and everything else.

When I was looking at this map and at road parts, I was ready to kill them. I seriously was. I held my temper and I didn't say anything then. The day before the meeting the representatives of the congressional staff came and they were sitting at my kitchen table and I told them I would seriously advise that the wilderness people not show that map. I said, öIf they do, I'm taking the commissioner hat off and I'm going to be right out there with the people with the pitchforks and the torches,ö I said. öThose people may not leave that building alive if they show them that map.ö

They had penned 86 percent of our county down as wilderness. They had not vetted it for mining, they had not vetted it for anything. They'd just put where they

thought roads were and what was enough. They were looking at it completely from their point of view and not from any other point of view.

RM: Oh my lord, 86 percent of Esmeralda County? When was this?

NB: I think it was 2008. We've got plenty of newspaper articles. Mark Waite's got a thick file on this. It was because of this that I got in trouble with Harry Reid's office for a while.

RM: So in their eyes it would be perfectly okay to take 86 percent of the county and turn it into wilderness?

NB: Yes. And they weren't necessarily even using what's in the Wilderness Act.

RM: What wilderness groups did they represent?

NB: It was, like, the Sierra Club. They were operating independently all this time with their little group. That's why I told the people who were representing Harry Reid's office and John Ensign's office, "They'd better not show that map." I really thought they would have been torn limb from limb, and I'd bet you they would have.

RM: So they didn't show it?

NB: No, they took my advice when I said, "That is just not acceptable." I did go on for a bit. I told them that I felt that I'd been misled and lied to. I said, "Those people never said a word when they were asking to be shown around the county that they were contemplating this area or that area as wilderness. They wait until this moment and come here and show me this thing to vet roads, and then come to find out that they're going to show this at our meeting." I said, "It's completely unacceptable."

RM: I don't understand their thinking. What do they think people are going to do in an area when they come in and take 86 percent of the place?

NB: Anyhow, that really never went anywhere because they started with such an

extreme point of view. If you're bargaining, then I start here, you start here, and we work to something reasonable. But if this guy's asking for 100 percent, right off the cracker I'm going to zero, right?

RM: Right.

NB: So that's the way I was looking at it. I sort of said, "You'd better kick this damn thing out and start over because you don't have anything. You've got to consider our minerals. How are we supposed to be making a living here? You want this all wilderness? There's mining and all kinds of stuff up there." Actually I don't even think they'd had the private land layer put on the map because they were kind of crowding that.

So we had a meeting over here and everybody got pretty hot at that one and then we had meetings in all the communities and what we were getting from folks is that, "You're asking too much and you're giving us too little and let's just not do it." We didn't think the areas they were proposing were suitable for wilderness and we didn't want to go any further. So we made some resolutions - they're actually on our website to this day - and we just told them no.

RM: When they went into the other counties did they also want the whole pie?

NB: They wanted a lot there, too. One thing I'm very happy about is that if I hadn't said that we needed to have a public meeting with our citizens, it would have been even worse in Esmeralda. What had happened in the Mineral and Lyon counties is that a group of environmentalists had sought out a certain core group of citizenry to help work with them on the plan and the public in general was not necessarily aware of the negotiations or what was going on so they were sort of dividing and conquering.

I didn't like the fact that they were talking to me and then talking to another commissioner, and I asked, "Are they even talking to the commissioner from Goldfield?"

That concerned me a lot so I said, "It's time to get this out and hear what our people have to say before I even mess with it anymore." And we heard, "No, we don't want this."

RM: Where has the issue gone over the last several years?

NB: Well finally [laughs] the other two counties said no. I don't think they did it as strongly as we did, but they did it after we had done it. So the bill idea was dropped and Senator Reid made some comment about that we just didn't know how good we were going to have it if the bill had passed.

RM: So Reid was behind the whole thing?

NB: Yes, Ensign was willing to go along with it if that was the solution we wanted. But he dropped it and that's when Mark Waite called me up, because he'd heard this. Mark said, "What's your take on it?" so I got in trouble.

RM: Are there any wilderness areas in Esmeralda County now?

NB: There are wilderness study areas.

RM: But not actual designated wilderness?

NB: Well, study areas are almost worse than having wilderness because if they're a study area, they are wilderness, as I said the other day.

RM: Are there a lot of wilderness study areas?

NB: The Bureau of Land Management is reassessing it now that they're doing the resource management plan. We've also tried, and all the counties have. Dean Heller's been very supportive of this because there was an idea that came out from above about wild lands and that the Bureau of Land Management should continually study to see if it was suitable. We were all dead set against that and a number of Republican senators actually said no to that so they had to give up on it. But I think there's quite a collection of environmentalists who were hired by the Bureau of Land Management here lately.

RM: To advocate and try and designate and so on?

NB: Yes. There's been a noticeable change since we changed administrations.

RM: So your perception is they want to do more in the way of creating wilderness?

NB: Yes. Interior goes the way of whatever administration is in, and that goes in cycles, too. We get a different president who says okay, let's not do this, and then it all goes away for a while.

RM: What are the prime contenders for wilderness in the county in the environmentalists' thinking? What mountain ranges or valleys or whatever?

NB: They would like the whole southern tip of our county and those areas adjacent to Death Valley National Park. They'd like that almost all the way up to Gold Point - that's where one of the wilderness study areas is right now. The other one's on the Silver Peak Mountain Range to the west of Silver Peak. There's quite a big area up there that's in a wilderness study area.

RM: Is Mount Magruder part of that?

NB: Yes, they had Magruder in there. I don't think the Indians would've liked that too much, I think that's why they kept the Palmettos out of it, too. There are still some cabins up there that time hasn't completely covered over.

Mount Jackson was also part of it and so was the entire northern part of our county. So were the volcanic hills. So was part of Mineral Ridge outside of Silver Peak. I mean, you wouldn't have been able to drive out of Silver Peak along the county road without having one of these wilderness areas abutting you. Oh, and the sand dunes outside of Silver Peak. It was terrible. They left us a few flat places on the valley floor and that was about it and they left the Palmetto Mountains off it.

But that would be just horrible - there could be no mining, no grazing, nothing.

And if you did have a water in-holding you can't use it. I mean, how are you going to fix your pipeline without a back hoe? That would be a lot of hand-digging. You can't have anything motorized in a wilderness area, not even a chainsaw. You could cut wood but you'd have to do it by hand and pack it up and put it on your pack mule or your horse. You can't haul a lot that way. So we just said no. Hopefully we'll escape that, but I don't think any of it is suitable, even the parts that they have in wilderness study now. There are study areas right now outside of Silver Peak that don't need to be wilderness.

RM: What are your reasons for saying that?

NB: For one reason, there are mineral values out there that could profit the United States if properly mined. That was one of the reasons that the BLM initially said they didn't think it should even be a wilderness study area, because of the mineral values. It's sort of a compliment to Esmeralda County that these people thought so much of us was suitable for wilderness. That means we took darned good care of what we've got, right? The whole idea of wilderness under the Wilderness Bill is that it's supposed to be a roadless, pristine area with no evidence of man.

RM: There are not many places like that in central Nevada, are there? They've all been trodden over and picked in and grazed and so on.

NB: This is where having your roads included in the BLM's information is very important. Because what they thought was roadless we don't think of as roadless.

RM: And you could go up there and show them a road if need be.

NB: Right, but looking at it from a distance you won't see them. They take a picture from several miles away up a mountain and say this should be wilderness, but I never did see any of them hike up there. I never saw any pictures from inside the area. To me, that's a way of further killing the economy out here. And it speaks to what I said

before— let the locals aid in deciding what goes on in public lands a little more. I think you'd have a lot less damage and you'd have a lot wiser decisions on these things; you'd probably be keeping the land in better shape.

RM: Where do you see the wilderness issue going?

NB: It depends on the administration. The way the wind is blowing right now with the setup in Washington, a lot of the people associated with the Tea Party movement and Republicans have really seized on getting the government out of your life. When they've been involved in things in the House, it's been, "Okay, quit this stuff. Enough is enough." I think they already identified anything that needed to be wilderness in the '70s, and to keep going on and on and on this way is not a good thing.

The more wilderness they create, the more pressure it puts on some other parts of the public land and the more headaches we seem to get. We seem to be finding big growths of marijuana in the wilderness area up on the White Mountains— we've made the national news four or five times on that one. They found it on Forest Service land a couple of times. That almost shoot-out I told you about was BLM and Forest Service. They help pay the cops some but that puts our people in jeopardy, too. Say you're up there in a wilderness area and you're on horseback and you happen upon somebody's little project up there— what if they're there? You could get killed.

If you're going to have a thing like a wilderness area and you say you're managing and administering it, then why aren't you up there on horseback yourself checking it out? When they go up there they don't go horseback. [Laughs]

RM: At its core, actually almost all of the issues we've talked about are economic, aren't they?

NB: They impact our economy or any opportunity to have an economy, actually. Then

when progress happens it further cuts into our economy, the little bit we've got.

RM: Let's say that you're a rancher and you've got a nice spring up in a wilderness area that your cows have been using for a long time. The rancher can't use that anymore?

NB: They might allow grazing in there. But the problem would be he can't use motorized means to get there unless they cherry-stem a road to his spring.

RM: I was wondering about the issue of takings.

NB: That's something that they don't want to address. The way those groups get around that when they propose wilderness is they say, "We can write anything we want into the act and if we knew the spring was there it wouldn't be a problem. In the act we'll talk about some access or the pipeline right of way." But whether or not you could identify all those springs is a problem.

RM: How about if Joe Blow has a patented claim in the area?

NB: They thought it was okay to do things like that - if there was an inhold patent all they've got to do is allow access to that guy's place. Again they say, "We'll write the bill to allow for that." But I don't think so. How would you do that? Do you write a bill to allow people to go in there and cut wood? It's okay for them to fly in here and say things like that but would they actually do it?

And that's a problem too. Not only do you have marijuana farms growing in the wilderness but there's no wood-cutting to speak of. Sometimes it's good to thin down forest. If you get a big beetle kill and everything up there is heavily wooded; it's like a good, big bonfire waiting to happen that is going to hurt adjoining lands.

RM: So again, it comes down to politics.

NB: Yes, primarily. I think most of our citizens love our land and we take good care of it.

RM: Yes, I think you do.

NB: By and large we do, and I think that's prevalent throughout the West. If something truly is a magnificent area that is pristine, perhaps some wilderness is okay, like maybe some of the land over in the Whites. But when you have multiple use for the land—like where you're now mining, you're grazing, you're doing a number of things over those same few acres, it might be pretty but it looks like a lot of other things that are already in wilderness.

CHAPTER EIGHT

RM: As chair of the Esmeralda County Commission, how much of your time and mental activity is devoted to these issues we're talking about that you might rather devote to something else?

NB: Well, a lot of it, too, is because I'm very active with other county commissioners in Nevada through the Nevada Association of Counties. I spend a good 60 percent of my time on these bigger issues.

RM: On dealing with the government?

NB: No, dealing with the government is I'd say 70 percent of our time. Yesterday, most of my day was involved with something involving the NDOT - Nevada Department of Transportation. We're at the tail end of money that was available in 2008 under the Recovery Act for paving. That money got split evenly among the counties. If you had a shovel-ready project that could be submitted, then you could use that money. In early 2009, the Nevada Department of Transportation said, "A lot of these projects are kind of small and if you guys want, we'll take care of the bidding and the contractual part of it for you," because it's easier for them to do it; they could probably get better bids.

We said okay, we signed up for that, and then they asked for paperwork for a valid right of way, which part of the road, and so forth, and we got all that into them by February 2010 or even in 2009. So we're supposed to have this project. Well, we waited and waited. Now, people from NDOT do a sort of state-wide tour once a year where they come by with the state transportation plan and ask for your okay as county commissioner. Every time they showed up we'd keep asking, "When are we going to get this paving project?" and none of them really know.

I finally got so exasperated about it. I was thinking, "What did they do? Did they take our money and give it to somebody else or did they forget about this project and is the federal government going to call that money back because it hasn't been spent?" I was getting really concerned about. I'd gotten ahold of people I know at the higher levels of NDOT, and said, "Que pasa?"

So yesterday I called another person at NDOT to get the project ID and all that material because one of the big-wigs had got back to me and said, "If you can get this information I'll find out about it for you."

I got that together and I said, "Well, for the heck of it I'll do some phone-calling myself."

As the afternoon went by, all of a sudden people who hadn't known anything about this project said, "Oh, you're calling about that Esmeralda paving project, are you?" Because I was going to call the governor's office. I called someone I knew there and found out who the legal counsel was who dealt with NDOT. I was getting ready to call the director of NDOT the legal counsel to the governor had been in a meeting so I didn't get a chance to talk to him. But by early afternoon, all of a sudden people knew about it. That tells me that the big guy has been reaching out.

I finally found out who was in charge of this thing and flashed them off an email right before I had to go to a meeting at 2:00 saying, "Hey, I hear you're going to start this in seven days. Make sure we're on your distribution list in case you need to coordinate locally for detours or extra signage." That was pretty well all day yesterday I was late for the meeting that started at 2:00. So yes, it's a lot of time, and going up to testify in front of the legislators also takes a lot of time.

RM: Did you get your money for that paving project?

NB: Yes, I found it was supposed to start in seven days and we're now on the distribution list. Poor Ed Rannells here had been trying and trying to find out about it.

RM: So another example of problems with the bureaucracy.

NB: Oh, they go on and on. One time we were in the middle of doing a sewer project in Goldfield and we'd had a committal from the Army Corps of Engineers; we'd signed the paperwork with them. The way we were working the deal is that we were going to draw from their money last - we had several different pools of money. When we were at the point where we needed to draw on their money they were going, "Well, we're not sure." We had contractors working here; this was a go. How are we supposed to pay for this when they come back and go, "Oh well, you know." That was another one. I don't know what she did, but the woman at Senator Reid's office did something and those people had the money in the bank for Esmeralda County. It was Thursday when I talked to her and they had the money in the bank the next Tuesday. This happens all the time; all county commissioners go through this.

RM: So in your position you have to have a lot of capacity to deal with this kind of thing.

NB: And know-how.

RM: Yes, knowing how to deal with things and being able to tolerate the stress.

NB: Well, government's goofy. But I never realized just how messed up our government was before I became a county commissioner.

RM: Do you think it's always been like that? That it's just the nature of the human condition?

NB: I'm sure it's always been convoluted but I think if it was a little bit smaller it wouldn't be as slow as it is. They're crawling all over each other doing the same job

sometimes because they have crossover of authority. It's like when you do certain projects here you've got to have the Bureau of Land Management involved, you might have HUD involved, you might have USDA involved, you might even have the EPA involved in some instances, plus the state of Nevada. They all have different requirements that you've got to meet so you have to have a lot of patience to deal with all that.

RM: We've got two more major topics I'm charged with dealing with. One is MX and the other is Yucca Mountain.

NB: I didn't actively participate in MX, but I heard about it. Again, that was something where they selected Nevada to be the place to put all these rail lines to move missiles around and they didn't ever ask anybody here. It wasn't well vetted at the federal level, as it turned out, because the whole thing probably wouldn't have worked anyway, and that's why it ended up being dropped. But again, it was the federal government saying to the state and counties, "I'm coming in, I'm going to do whatever I want." It strikes me as odd because they thought everything out here was suitable for rail lines, and they were going to hide things in mountains. It's okay to saturate the entire state of Nevada with rail lines and missiles, but then you turn around and in no time it's all suitable for wilderness. That is a classic federal government turnaround.

RM: Yes, they were going to take, I forget the figure—half the state or something.

NB: And they weren't offering to pay anybody or move them. They probably would do exactly what they do when they propose a rail line to Yucca Mountain. They engineer it so that it doesn't go over private land. It would irritate the hell out of that little town of Silver Peak, where you can hear a pin drop, but, "Well, you'll get used to the noise." Actually that line is one of the prime views over my house. They showed me that and

said "What do you think of it?"

I said, "I think it's just fine if you pay us all to move, buy us out." I can see why everybody got so fired up about MX. They spent all that money and they're drilling all those wells and so on.

RM: It's like there's a lot of land out here that doesn't have any houses on it and they think it's good for this project and it's good for the next project.

NB: Yes, but it never dawns on them they might sell some of it at a reasonable price so there are a few more heads living out here and you could support a little store or something. [Laughs] And when they do sell land, boy do they think it's worth a lot of money.

RM: Does that bring us to Yucca Mountain then?

NB: Yes, that's our latest one. My take on it is that people here thought if it was going to happen it would have provided some income and the possibility of some economic expansion— another engine to drive, so to speak, and not terribly intrusive for what was planned for us. And we would have had the side benefit of being able to ship things out on the rail line they proposed because we have a lot of industrial minerals and it would make it much more cost-effective to ship them that way.

RM: What minerals are there?

NB: Lithium is one and we've got quite a few others— big potassium deposits, silica deposits, sulfur. You could make more money on those kind of things if you could ship large amounts of them. There are a few more minerals that we have here but a lot of times they haven't been developed because of the cost of trucking them out. Rail is a whole lot more effective and efficient.

RM: What was the route the railroad was going to run?

NB: That was another problem we had. When the idea first came up it might have been the line later called the Mina 1 or 2, coming down from the north and kind of following Highway 95. You should ask Ed about that one. Then all of a sudden the Caliente line showed up, which was fighting the topography of the entire state of Nevada [laughs], crossing every mountain range east to west until you got to central Nevada. It was probably the worst place in the world to try to build a railroad, but that was the course they selected.

I think originally most of them hardly came into Esmeralda County but they did listen to us about that and we pointed out that they might have a whole lot better path if they took something that was sort of a natural way through our county.

RM: Which would have been how?

NB: You've got to look at the map, but it swings to the other side of Highway 95 a little bit before Goldfield and then for a little while it follows the course of the old water pipeline that served Goldfield from Lida for a little while. Then it goes through the valley on the west side of Mount Jackson that's all flat out that way. Then right about at Lida Junction, where the Cottontail Ranch was, it would go south and into Yucca Mountain.

RM: So it would have been west of here?

NB: Yes, just slightly. So we talked them into that. The Mina route resurfaced a little later on. Either one of those would have had a lot of rail line coming through Esmeralda County, and it would have been probably a lot better, too, for north-south shipment of other goods to go that way because you were ultimately connecting with the major railhead in Reno instead of just over in Caliente on the Salt Lake route. It would have been much more beneficial to the whole of the state to do that because not only do we have some of those minerals, but Mineral County's got some, too.

RM: In talking to people over the years, do you have any general impressions about what people thought about the Nevada Test Site and the radiation that resulted from the nuclear weapons testing?

NB: The people who have been here a long time knew what happened and knew there wasn't anything they could do about it so it was acceptable. The radiation really hasn't shown up in the water up here so that's one blessing. And most of the wind went due east so there wasn't that fallout.

RM: So there wasn't any general predisposition toward hysteria about it?

NB: No. But I think people, and not just in our area, feel that after those events transpired we found out many things about what happened during those days that were kept secret from us and purposely withheld from the public and that the public suffered because of it.

RM: You mean, because of the radiation exposure?

NB: Yes. They wouldn't even publicize properly that when the wind blows this way you might have a problem. Unfortunately, in the time I grew up in I've seen over and over and over that our federal government does not tell the truth. Having been a fairly young person watching people getting killed in Vietnam on the nightly news and being around at the Kennedy assassination and finding out some of the medical experiments they did on black people, purposely giving them venereal diseases and watching them die, you find this stuff out later and you think, "What kind of government have we got here?" I think if they were more truthful things would be better. I think they did make an attempt to tell the older stories but it still makes you wonder, what aren't they telling you?

So when something like Yucca Mountain is proposed I think it does not help it

because there's a certain component that is thinking, "What aren't they telling me about this?" And they've done everything they can to keep it out of the public.

RM: Yes. I interviewed Senator Richard Bryan on this issue a few months ago, and that was his take. He said that the federal government hadn't been totally truthful about weapons testing, so what aren't they telling us about Yucca Mountain? He said that was the basis of his opposition to the Yucca Mountain waste repository.

NB: I think that's understandable, I can see the logic of that. And he probably knows more than you or I because he has been a senator; he probably found out some things when he went to Washington that he didn't know when he was governor.

RM: My dad and brother and I were out in Reveille Valley when they were shooting off those A-bombs. We used to get up and watch them go off in the morning and I've always thought that the government was not deceptive. They were not appreciative of some of the dangers and everything but I never felt like they were lying. This was back in the '60s.

NB: Yes, but when the problem with radiation started showing up in Utah and whatnot they weren't exactly forthright about it.

RM: You're right.

NB: They're patting these people on the head saying "Well, you just got the flu; leave." I can see logically why someone would feel the way Bryan does.

RM: Oh I can, too. And that suspicion of the government's honesty had something to do with the opposition to Yucca Mountain.

NB: I think this ties in with our conversation because for our state and federal elected officials, this was their form of the Sagebrush Rebellion. When Yucca Mountain was proposed they were saying, "They shoved it down my throat; I don't like the idea." It was

like the MX. The MX law passes and the target's on Nevada and the government's building it whether you like it or not; same thing with Yucca Mountain. Law passed, we're the target, a repository was never studied to be placed anywhere else. Okay, Nevada, you're the waste dump.

And knowing some of our illustrious people who were around for the MX, like Governor Bryan, later Senator Bryan, a reaction to that by a Nevadan might well be to say, "They're doing it to us again. To hell with you. I don't want it, I'll never accept it, and we're all sticking together." And they still are.

RM: That's true, but here's the difference as I see it. This attitude was pretty heavily true in Vegas, and maybe in Reno, but in the rural counties like Nye and Esmeralda there was not such a strong feeling against Yucca Mountain.

NB: Right, because they were trying to make a living in the counties. They were looking at it as, "Oh, there may be some hope that we can stay around and enjoy our lovely residence and have some relief for taxes so we can do some good things for our people." That's how they were looking at it years ago. "And I can maybe make a living on this."

RM: So you're saying that they were willing to overlook their fears of radiation and the government for economic reasons?

NB: I don't know that they have those fears. They tended to look at it not so emotionally but from a logical standpoint. If you're looking at this and saying, "I might be able to make a living off of this," and you're aware that the scientists and engineers are going through all these studies before it starts and on and on, and having a facility where you've got something local where you can go ask questions, I think it was looked at differently, I think they looked at it with logic.

But I think a lot of our higher-up elected officials were looking at it from an emotional standpoint because now it wasn't just Esmeralda County that the BLM was bullying around, it was the federal government telling the state of Nevada, "We think you're sub-par and we're going to locate this thing here whether you want it or not. We're not going to pay you, anyway." And probably down south Clark County would say, "This is horrible for the gaming industry," so they wouldn't have liked it. So between those two I think that covers it.

RM: You're right. However, I interviewed Senator Chic Hecht about Yucca Mountain before he died. Of course, he was very pro Yucca Mountain and his interpretation was that the opposition by Bryan and Reid was cynical; that it was a political issue. I asked him, "How did they decide in the beginning to oppose Yucca Mountain?" I was at the very first meeting proposing Yucca Mountain when Governor Bryan spoke out and said, "I am unalterably opposed to this." And "unalterably" means that I'm not going to change my mind.

NB: "And I'm not going to listen anymore either."

RM: That's right. I asked Hecht, "How did Bryan and Reid know that it was a good political issue?" He told me, "Fear always makes a wonderful issue for a politician." His take on it was that they milked the issue successfully, whereas I thought from the beginning, "These guys are on the wrong side of this issue and they're going to get burned by it."

NB: Every one of our political people who have come since Bryan, whether they be Republican or Democrat, have picked up that gauntlet and you will get the same opinion from them.

RM: One exception was Barbara Vucanovich.

NB: Well, she just didn't talk much about it. But after Barbara got out, they're all in lock step.

RM: Is it your perception over the years that people in Esmeralda County were pretty receptive to the whole idea?

NB: From my point of view, the only benefit in that thing is somebody might make a living off it. I mean, we might get a north-south somehow rail connection in the state of Nevada.

RM: But would the repository itself help the economy?

NB: Slightly, yes. It depends on how much PETT (payment equal to taxes) money we'd get out of it. That's one of the reasons we try to have an installation put in the county and one of the reason we kind of favored having a rail line go a little bit to the west of Goldfield instead of the way it was going to go. Otherwise you end up with, once again, an additional burden. Because even if it came close here, whose law enforcement is going to get called? Whose emergency services are going to get called? If it's closer to Goldfield, even it's just over the Nye County line, who are they going to call? That's one of the good things we get out of the low-level nuclear waste that goes to Beatty; we do get some money for shipments that come through here and that has been very helpful to us. But we can only use it for emergency services.

RM: So in your eyes it's a little bit of the same old, same old issue, isn't it?

NB: I really think it echoes from one to the other. I think there's a certain component of the Yucca Mountain opposition as a Nevadan - if you love this state, it kind of makes you mad that they just waltz in and say, "Okay, you're it."

RM: Do you think if presented the project differently it would have made a difference?

NB: It's so hard to tell. But that's been my take on some of the political pushback on

itô they were just being bull-headed about it, and I don't see that I blame them. If I was governor, like Governor Bryan at the time, and something like that happened and it was my state, I'd probably have said the same kind of things he did. I've never been, like, "Bring on Yucca Mountain." We've got two other commissioners who take care of that.

[Laughs]

RM: They're in favor of the project?

NB: Oh yes, to the extent that sometimes they get higher elected officials ticked off at them.

RM: Do you think Yucca Mountain is dead?

NB: I don't know. The federal laws still on the books. That brings us back to one of those headaches for Esmeralda Countyô as I told you before, we don't know which way it's going now. Nye County is sort of stuck there, too. We're trying to figure out creative ways that we can draw some dollars in and get something shaking. That's why we're working so closely on getting power lines built for these renewables, hoping that maybe we can make a lick out of that if Yucca Mountain isn't going to come. But that's the thingô they'll turn around and say, "Well no, we don't care about geothermal, we don't care about solar, we're going to build little nuclear plants." As soon as we get lined up to do something it's going to change, just like it always has. But we keep trying.

RM: That's right; that's all you can do.

NB: Yes, outside of making the whole damn county a park, like the wilderness people want them to do. [Laughs]

RM: Do you think that DOE did an adequate job of educating the public on Yucca Mountain?

NB: No, not at all. Actually, they were really laughable. The few meetings that I've

gone to on this, every one of them, somebody came out saying a really stupid thing. The first one I went to was over at Caliente. It wasn't that long after I'd gotten elected and it was the transportation board they were having a meeting. Somebody from DOE, or a consultant who had been studying it, stood up and said flat out, because the rail might take a while to build, "The highways in the state of Nevada are adequate for transportation of this until the railroad is built." I'm thinking, "You've never seen a 90-degree turn in Goldfield."

We had a public meeting here, too, when the Caliente rail corridor was first being proposed, and one man was working for one of the consultants. They had a meeting here in the school auditorium and I went to it and I got a moment of truth out of this guy because I sat there and we were talking a little bit and he realized that I probably had somewhat of a brain and understood certain aspects of engineering and earth moving. I said, "Wouldn't you have been a lot happier if they'd stuck with that first route? Talk about giving an engineer a headache. These idiots picked this line that you're fighting, and I told them that they're fighting the topography of the state of Nevada like nowhere else possible." I said, "They did you a big favor. I know you wouldn't have designed a railroad through this if you had the choice."

And the guy said, "You're right." I mean, it was all smoke and mirrors. Even the report it was DOE and BLM that did it. The Caliente route that they had initially had silly stuff in it, stuff like this is going to be closed to mineral claims but they're going to keep it open for leasable minerals. Now excuse me, you'd lease it but you won't let somebody claim it? And you want to lock this land up for how many years while we're studying it? A three-mile wide corridor, shrink down the study area [laughs] those reports are usually pretty interesting but not very practical.

It's like one of the Mina routes, the one that went by Silver Peak. There's a spring called Railroad Springs, so somebody thought that Railroad Springs was probably where a railroad line went. They didn't understand that the old railroad line to Silver Peak stopped at Blair; it never even went up through Silver Peak. But they initially had it routed through Railroad Springs. One of these people, in their wisdom, just visioned this. So then they did whirl this thing around and send it down right where the county road is, basically, to go to Railroad Springs.

Not a one of them had ever checked to see what the grade was. If I recall correctly, the Yucca Mountain line had to have less than a two percent grade, but that route may be even a little more than a ten percent grade. Lida Wash goes through there and that's a big huge wash so there's at least about a five-story drop from here to there but it goes down fairly gradually in the wash. Not a one of them had ever checked that. Where did you get the two percent grade? I think you'd better check your reading. When they did the final plan, of course they had this thing going all over the mountain, circling back and forth to keep to their two percent desirable grade.

RM: Another thing that they did was they hired virtually no one from Esmeralda or Nye counties to work on Yucca Mountain in the early stages. That's probably part of the reason why they made the mistake you were just talking about.

NB: Yes. This route was a little later on but they never walked it, they probably never flew it. They just were looking at that map in isolation, like happens to us so often.

RM: Very early on in Yucca Mountain, a group in Tonopah called up Senator Hecht and said, "What's going on? We can't get any jobs there, they're bringing them all in from other places." Another error they've made.

NB: Well, Nye County does that all the time when they hire someone. [Laughs] Look

at all their county managers.

RM: Oh. [Laughs]

NB: Well, they think no one here knows anything.

RM: Yes, they think they're all a bunch of rubes out here.

NB: Yes, uneducated. People made that mistake with me.

RM: So we're back to saying, "Hey, throw a little money their way out there if you want to sweeten them up a little bit."

NB: Yes, but you've got to throw us money we can use anywhere; that's the problem. Usually the federal money has so many strings on it that you have to be extremely creative in order to get some kind of lasting benefit.

RM: Exactly. I think I've covered most of my list here but if some other things come up please let me know.

NB: We'll send you press clippings. I'm sure Mark's got quite a file on Boland down there.

RM: I'll ask him.

NB: Yes, please do. He remembers that virtual blackmail quote really well - that's the one Reid got angry about. [Laughs]

RM: Tell that story.

NB: Mark called me and told me what Harry Reid had said about this land bill thing that they gave up on - he said, "Well, we're going to drop this and they don't know what they missed out on," or something to that effect.

Mark said, "What do you think about that?"

I said, "You know, I'm relieved," I said. "I'm glad." I was worried they were going to continue with the wilderness plan whether we said we wanted it or not. We

talked a little further and I said that I looked at this plan as virtual blackmail, so he puts the virtual blackmail remark in his article in the *Pahrump Valley Times*.

RM: What was the blackmail for?

NB: All we wanted, really, was Gold Point and a few little scraps of land here and there. And then they said, "We want the other 86 percent of your county as wilderness."

I said, "I felt it was virtual blackmail just to get this." Well, I said it. But then, boy did I get a call.

RM: From Reid?

NB: Not Reid himself, but his office. They said, "He's going to damn you to hell when he sees this." I explained exactly why I said what I said.

I told them, "We have tried and we have tried and we have tried to do this through methods other than this land bill thing. I asked John Ensign's office if they would put our little piece of legislation on another land bill that they were working on and John Ensign's office said yes we will but we want to make sure that Senator Reid is all right with that." Because he started this process to try to get the town done. So we don't want to make him think we're superseding him.

I said, "Then I called your office and I was told no, it had to be our bill or no bill." But it wasn't Harry Reid who said that - it was one of his higher-up staffers. And when I explained that to this person who was so mad I said, "I think I was justified in saying that because that was our only resolution. It was the refusal to bring this legislation in about Gold Point, and you kept saying it won't pass because it's not big enough."

But I guess they've forgiven me because Harry Reid calls me Nancy when we're on those conference calls. [Laughs] He invites all the county chairs every once in a while if he's got something he wants to communicate to you - especially when he's running for

election, he has a lot of those conference calls. He'd talk about legislation, like when he did something about the fires.

RM: Just as an aside I'd mention here that Nye County was offered, through Steve Bradhurst, who was the Nye County consultant on Yucca Mountain years ago, a number of things if the county would take the repository. This guy in Secretary of Energy Harrington's office said to Steve, "What will Nevada take to want to take the repository?" And Steve said, "How about the super-collider super-conductor?" The guy said, "Okay, what else do you want?" and Steve said "How about a super train from Vegas to L.A.?" He said, "Okay, what else do you want?" and Steve said, "I'd have to get back to you on this."

Chic Hecht told me that Secretary of Energy Harrington called him into the office and said, "If Nevada will take Yucca Mountain, we will build a multi-million dollar nuclear research facility focusing on nuclear medicine on the Test Site associated with UNLV. In ten years it'd have more Nobel Prize winners working at it than any institution in the world." Troy Wade, who's a big guy in nuclear energy, was in the office at the time.

Chic took the idea to the then-president of UNLV, who said, "If I accepted that I'd be out of a job."

NB: Politics are really something.

RM: Now we've got not a whole lot to show for the whole Yucca Mountain thing. It's very sad.

NB: It is sad. And we're still out here in limbo land. Probably every county that this has affected is in limbo land. I'm not taking it out of consideration but it makes it hell to try to determine your future.

RM: That's one of the key points that you've made all of the way through this interview that you can't control your own fate and you're dangling half the time.

NB: It's mining boom and bust that's the only consistency in our lives in this county. Hardly any population, but millions and millions of dollars worth of water system improvements and water treatment we have to have because there's a law that says we must.

RM: Where does the water come from?

NB: From wells halfway between here and Tonopah. There's 11 miles of pipeline.

RM: Are they deep?

NB: I think they're about 400 foot, something like that; they're not that deep. They've got two pump stations. There's the well and two transfer pumps to get it in here because this is where the water tank is.

What makes it fulfilling being a commissioner is when you do solve problems. When we got the money from the geothermal thing we split it into three regions evenly. The first thing I did was pay off the water loan in Silver Peak. Every year we saved \$7,000, most of it interest, because it was a 40-year loan. So we told USDA to go away and had one less government agency messing around in our lives. We got a second well drilled so that we didn't have to worry about the government coming back for a while. We're doing pretty good over there right now.

RM: When were you elected commissioner?

NB: I got elected in the 2004 elections so I took office in January 2005. That's when I was a newbie and now I wish I didn't know half of the things I know. [Laughs] Yes, you don't want to give bull to Nancy if you're a bureaucrat because she's just like a pit bull. I know how to work bureaucracy. I'm a really good money person. I can find money

when there were government monies, I found them. As a chair I didn't hesitate to put in for an appropriation because I figured we deserved it as much as everybody else.

RM: That's right. This has been so interesting. Thank you very much for talking with me.

INDEX

A

abatement of taxes, 33634, 61
accidents, and legal obligations for medical care, 62
accounting work (of Boland), 6, 8, 10611
activism, political, 67669
 See also Sagebrush Rebellion
adaptive management, 71672
advisory question on election ballot, 68669
air-quality permits, 22
Alkali Springs Valley, Nevada, 31, 40
antelope
 kept from water by wild horses, 40
 as nuisance on roads, 42
 population of, 42
apathy, 68
Army Corps of Engineers, 85
asbestos, 263

B

Baffin Island, Canada, 9
ball mill, 15616
ballot issue, on state's ability to appropriate county money, 68669
bartender, Nancy's husband's work as, 20
Battle Mountain, Nevada, 72
 public meetings on resource management plan in, 48
Bausch & Lomb, 3
Beatty, Nevada
 meetings on resource management plan in, 48
 senior services in, 60
beetle kill (in forests), 81
Blakemore, Rick, 23, 66667
BLM (Bureau of Land Management)
 budgetary constraints, land use/development and, 36637
 comment period, lack of notice for, 49
 crossover of authority, 86
 Federal Register, notices published in, 49
 field office manager in Tonopah, 64
 land maps, 30631, 46
 law enforcement, heavy-handed, 64665
 letter of complaint to, 65
 pickiness when it comes to numbers, 18
 reclamation regulations, 13614, 16617, 19
 regulations, oppressiveness of, 24
 right-of-way issues for roads, 50651
 road maps and, 46647, 49, 52, 79680

 sale of Sunshine Mine land, 16
 solar PEIS (programmatic environmental impact statement), 29
 Title 5 rights-of-way and, 47, 50651, 52
 water management and, 38639
 wild horse management by, 37642, 53
 wilderness study areas and, 25, 77679
 withdrawal of land by/withdrawal maps, 30631
blogs, 68
Boland, Francis Bernard (father), 263
Boland, Nancy Joanne
 as Certified General Accountant (CGA), 5, 10611
 as chair of Esmeralda County Commission, 83687
 childhood and early years, 1612
 consulting business of, 10611
 cost accounting by, 10611
 early jobs of, 1, 4, 566, 9621
 family of, 163
 farming background, 1, 4
 husband (first, from Canada), 465, 6
 husband (second, Dennis Hammers), 12, 20621
 mining and forestry knowledge of, 19620, 22626
 move to Nevada, 6, 8, 21
 New York State, growing up in, 164
 parents remained married (in contrast to other parents), 3
 picking strawberries (childhood job), 4, 9
 public service, fulfilling nature of, 1006101
 public service, time involved in, 83685
 as tomboy, 4
 Toronto, Ontario (Canada), move to, 5
 United Tire and Rubber, work for, 566, 8, 19620
Boland, Viola Frenz (mother), 1
bonds, reclamation, 22
bowling alleys, 4
Bradhurst, Steve, 99
Bryan, Richard, 90, 91, 92, 94
budget work of Boland, 6
bureaucracy
 Boland's ability to work within, 1006101
 problems with, 83685

- burros
 forcing cows away from water, 40
 left at Silver Peak range, 41
 no-kill policy for, 53
See also horses, wild
- C
- Caliente line (railroad), 88, 95
- Caliente, Nevada, meeting on Yucca Mountain in, 95
- California
 as consumer for energy/electricity produced by Nevada, 26, 27628
 Death Valley National Park, 27, 28
 Inyo County, 27
 Owens Valley, 27628
 power/energy route to, 27628
- California poppies, 41
- Canada
 Boland's first husband from, 4, 465
 Boland's work in, 566, 8, 19620
 mining companies with operations in Nevada, 11612
 personality of people and educational system in, 7
 Toronto, Ontario, 5
 variety of landscapes in, 869
- canal-building, in upstate New York, 2
- Carson City, Nevada, meetings on right-of-way issues in, 50651
- Carver, Dick, 23, 24, 66667
 right-of-way issues and, 51
- cattle
 cattle guards, 55656
 grazing allotments, 37640, 44, 55656
 grazing allotments vs. horse-grazing allotments, 39640
 "The Great Cattle Rustling Affair," 44645, 64
 number of, currently, 43644
 removal from Colvin property and Lida Ranch, 44645
 water, as means of keeping on allotment, 55656
See also ranching and grazing
- CCD (counter current decantation) circuit, 15616
- Central Nevada, power production and jobs in, 29630
- Certified General Accountant (CGA)
 Boland's degree in, 5, 11
 Boland's work as, 10611
 degree of skill and demand for, 11, 12
 CGA. *See* Certified General Accountant
- Clark County, Nevada, 61, 63, 92
 ballot issue in, 69
- Clayton Valley, Nevada, 26, 30
- Colvin, Ben, 44645, 67
 removal of cattle from, 44645
- comment period notice, 48649
- cost accounting, 10611
- costs, of reclamation bonds, 22
- Cottontail Ranch, 88
- counties (in Nevada)
 abatement for county share of taxes, 61
 abatement of property tax money, 61
 accidents, legal obligations for medical care, 62
 advisory question on election ballot, 68669
 ballot issue addressing state's ability to take money away from, 68669
 at bottom of pecking order (for funding), 59
 economic impacts of state policies on, 58663, 69
 funding cuts for, 58660
 hospitals' agreement with, 62
 legal obligations for care of health and safety, 59, 62
 money in the bank (rural counties), 61
 Nevada Association of Counties, 50, 61
 political activism and, 67669
 property tax money, 61
 revenue from geothermal lease sales appropriated by state, 58659
 services/programs curtailed or cut due to state funding cuts, 59661
 state school fund, excess revenue should go to counties, 58659
 tax revenues, 61
 unfunded mandates, impacts of and actions to end, 63, 68669
- cows. *See* cattle; ranching and grazing
- crossover of authority, 86
- crusher mill, 15616
- D
- Death Valley National Park, 27, 78
- deer
 kept from water by wild horses, 40
 population of, 42643
- Department of Energy (DOE), 94695

Department of the Interior, 35, 78
 budget for wild horses, 53
 revenue from geothermal leases, 58
 See also BLM (Bureau of Land Management)
 diesel fuel, as hazardous material, 22
 divorced parents, frequency of, 3
 DOE (Department of Energy), 94695
 Drinkwater Mine, 15
 drought, 70
 Dyer, Nevada, 26

E
 Eastman Kodak, 2
 economic impacts
 of state policies on counties, 58663, 69
 of wilderness areas, 26627, 32, 36, 74681
 of Yucca Mountain, 87688, 91, 93
 economy, energy production and, 26627
 electricity production
 future, in Esmeralda County, 26627, 94
 route to consumers, 27628
 Valley Electric, 29
 See also energy production
 Elgan, Ken, 45
 energy production
 abatement of taxes for, 33634, 61
 alternative sources of, 29, 30632, 33
 California as consumer for, 26, 27628
 future, difficulty of planning for, 30
 future, in Esmeralda County, 26627, 94
 geothermal, 26, 29630, 33
 over-capacity in alternate sources for, 26627
 renewable energy, abatement of taxes for, 61
 route to consumers, 27628
 solar power, 29, 30631
 transport to consumers, 26628
 wilderness designation for land and, 26627
 engineering work of Boland, 10611
 engineers, needed for permit, 19
 Enron, 27
 Ensign, John, 75, 77, 98
 environmental impact statement (solar PEIS), 29
 environmental issues
 environmental legislation, 46
 environmental movement, 46
 hazardous materials regulations, 22623
 mining permits and regulations, 18619
 NDEP (Nevada Division of Environmental Protection), 13614
 NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act), 71
 regulations, onerous nature of, 35636
 See also BLM; permits; regulations; wilderness areas
 environmentalists, 46, 73678
 hired by BLM, 77678
 lands bill and, 73
 Sierra Club, 75
 wilderness area proposals, 74676
 wilderness declarations and, 25
 Esmeralda County, Nevada
 abatement of taxes and, 33634
 accidents, legal obligations for, 62
 ballot issue on state's ability to appropriate county money, 68669
 burden of projects that aid other locations, 31
 economy in, 996100
 energy production in, future, 26628, 94
 Esmeralda County Commission, Boland as chair of, 83687
 financial status of, 61
 geothermal lease sales, revenue from, 58659
 geothermal resources in, 26
 need for building, businesses, jobs, and housing in, 31633
 newspapers, lack of coverage in, 65666
 nuclear power possibilities for, 28
 partnering with NYSE County, NV, 59660
 paving project (for roads), 83685
 PETT (payment equal to taxes), 93
 property tax in, 61
 public meetings on resource management plan, lack of notice for, 48
 railroad route and, 87688, 93
 recreation and public purposes lease on wilderness areas, 64
 resource management plan, 35, 48
 road maintenance by, 47
 roads, maps of, 52, 74, 79680
 School District, revenue appropriated by state, 58659
 senior services in, 59660
 services and programs curtailed by funding cuts, 59662
 tax base in, 32634, 61
 unemployment rate and population of, 33

Esmeralda County, Nevada (*continued*)
water pipeline, fees for, 55
water plan, 28629
water system and treatment, 100
wild horses, management and issues in,
37642
wilderness/wilderness areas in, 32, 72679
wilderness areas, 86% of land proposed
for, 74676, 98
wilderness areas, county resolutions on,
76
wilderness study areas in, 77679
Yucca Mountain and, 92693

F

Fallon, Nevada, 44
farming (by Boland's family), 1, 4
father of Boland (Francis Bernard Boland),
263
mesothelioma and death of, 263
federal government, 17, 83687
alternative energy and, 29630
changing decisions/policies of, 31632,
35636
crossover of authority, 86
dishonesty of, 89690
fees for grazing, objection to, 44645
grazing and water use, 23624
intrusion into people's lives, 73
land-use policies of, 23636
laws not adequately followed by, 47648
leasing of land, 29630
onerous regulations of, 35
removal of cattle by, 44645
resource management plan, 47648
responsiveness of, 67668, 71
Sagebrush Rebellion and, 23624, 44645,
67
shut-down of road access, 23624
size of, 85686
size/influence of, movement against, 80
See also BLM; land
federal land policy. *See* land policy, federal
Federal Land Policy and Management Act
of 1978 (FLPMA), 46, 66
Federal Register, notices published in, 49
fees
for grazing, 44645
for mining, 19
nonpayment of, 44645
for water pipelines over public land, 546
55

fences, lack of, 40
fire
grass burned by, 42
reduction by grazing rotation, 42
fire protection, curtailed by funding cuts, 59
Fish Lake Valley, Nevada, 26
public meetings and, 48
ranching in, 44
senior services in, 60
water situation in, 28
FLPMA (Federal Land Policy and
Management Act of 1978), 46, 66
Forest Service, U.S., 24
See also wilderness areas
forests, beetle kill in, 81

G

Gables, bartending at, 20
geothermal energy, 26, 100
abatement of taxes, 33634
jobs from, 33
leases, revenue from, 58659
leasing ground for, 29630
in Nye County, NV, 29630
royalties and profit from, county vs. state
receipt of, 58659
taxes from, 33
global warming, 70
gold mining, 15616, 17618
Gold Point, Nevada, 29, 31, 78, 98
legality of, 73
Goldfield, Nevada, 15, 21, 31
grazing allotments in, 39, 44
location of, and need for housing, 32
mining claims in, 32
Nuclear Repository Office, Boland at, 1
public meetings on resource management
plan in, 48
sewer project in, 85
water use for grazing in, 39
government. *See* federal government;
Nevada
grandparents of Boland, 1
grazing. *See* ranching and grazing
"The Great Cattle Rustling Affair," 44645

H

Hage, Wayne, 23, 44, 67
Hammers, Dennis (second husband), 12, 206
21
work as bartender, 20
work as stagehand, 12

Haploid Corporation (later Xerox), 3
 hazardous materials, 22
 health services
 county responsibilities for, 61662
 health inspection services, 60
 indigent care services, 61662
 medical care, quality of, 60
 mental health services, 60
 heap-leaching, 17618
 Hecht, Chic, 73, 92, 99
 Heller, Dean, 36
 Hickok (company), 162
 Highway 95, 48
 Homestead mine, 21
 horses, wild, 37642, 52654, 70672
 adoption process for, 70
 birth control for, 52653
 BLM management of, 37, 41, 53
 Boland's recommendations for, 52654
 confinement/holding areas for, 52653
 conflicts with cattle/ranching, 37638, 40641
 consumption of (eating), 71
 destruction of springs by, 40
 destruction of vegetation by, 40641
 feeding of, cost and money for, 37, 42, 52, 53, 70
 fences, lack of, 40
 as feral, 53654
 forcing cows and wildlife away from water, 40
 grazing rotation and, 41642
 guarding of water by, 40
 no-kill policy for, 42, 53, 70
 as not native to Nevada, 53
 overgrazing by, 40641
 overpopulation by, 37, 40, 41, 42, 53
 as potential income source, 70671
 reducing herd size, 52653, 54
 rounding up/gathering of, 37, 41, 42, 70
 rule on numbers of, 53
 sentries at water sources, 40
 slaughter of, 42, 70
 starvation of, 42, 54
 water, attraction of, 40
 Wild and Free-Roaming Horses and Burros Act of 1971, 41
 hospitals, indigent medical care, payment for, 61662
 housing, need for, 32
 Humane Society, Boland's job for, 5
 Hunt brothers, 14

I
 IBM (corporation), 4
 indigent care
 Indigent Accident Fund, 62
 property tax funding for, 61662
 Interior, Department of, 35, 78
 Inyo County, California, power production project in cooperation with, 27
 Ione, Nevada, 73

J
 Jackson, Michael, 12
 jobs
 from geothermal energy projects, 33
 from nuclear energy projects, 33
 power-production and, 29
 from solar energy projects, 31, 33
 tax base, location of, 32633
 from Yucca Mountain, 96

K
 Kirby, Commissioner Bill, 73
 Kodak (Eastman Kodak), 2

L
 Lacy, Darrell, 47
 land, 23645
 development, benefits of, 31633
 environmental legislation and, 46647
 federal land, amount of, 29630
 federal land policies, 23634
 Forest Service, 24
 historical uses, importance and current impact of, 64665
 lands bill, 73674, 97698
 leasing of federal land, 29630, 32, 64
 maps of, 30631, 46
 for MX missile program, 85687
 private, at Millers, NV, 31
 private, mining permits for, 19
 public, inventory of, 35636
 public, mining permits for, 19
 public, practical uses for, 31632
 public, Title 5 right-of-way and, 47, 50652
 public, wilderness area pressures on, 80
 restitution for, lack of, 24
 water issues, 37640 (*See also* water)
 See also BLM; land ownership; ranching and grazing; roads; wilderness areas
 land management, 70682
 adaptive management, 71672

- land management (*continued*)
 - development of land, benefits of, 31633
 - Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1978 (FLPMA), 46, 66
 - inventory of public lands, 35636
 - lands bill, proposed, 73674, 97698
 - managed as wilderness, 36
 - management by local people, 79680, 81682
 - management by nonresidents, 72, 80
 - management on paper, 72
 - multiple uses, 82
 - resource management plan, 35, 36, 47648, 72
 - rotation of uses in, 71672, 81
 - wild horse management and issues, 37642
 - See also* BLM; permits; regulations; water; wilderness areas
- land ownership
 - leasing of land, 29630
 - ownership of roads, 46647
 - questions about rights, 23624
 - townsites and, 73
 - water rights and, 23624, 38640
- land policy, federal, 23634
 - changes in, 31632, 35636
 - Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1978 (FLPMA), 46, 66
 - land maps and, 30631, 46
 - law enforcement, onerous nature of, 24, 64665
 - water issues, 37640
 - See also* BLM; land; wilderness areas
- land rights, 23624
 - water rights, 23624, 38640, 56657
- lands bill, 73674, 97698
- Las Vegas, Nevada, power transmission through, 28
- law enforcement
 - curtailed by funding cuts, 59
 - dealing with people, useful methods for, 65
 - heavy-handed, 24, 64665
 - history of area, importance for, 65
- lazy-voter theory, 69
- leasing of federal land, 29630, 32
 - geothermal leases, 58659
 - recreation and public purposes lease, 64
- Lida Junction, Nevada, 27, 88
- Lida Ranch, 20, 38, 41
 - removal of cattle from, 44, 45
- Lida Wash, 96
- lithium, 87
- Lyon County, Nevada, 73, 76677
- M
- Magruder and Mount Jackson graze, 41
- management of land. *See* land; land management
- mandates, unfunded, 63, 69
- maps
 - land maps, 30631, 46
 - roads on, 46647, 49, 52, 79680
 - withdrawal maps (BLM), 30631
- marijuana, in wilderness area, 64, 80, 81
- Mary Mine, 15, 17618
- Meals-on-Wheels-type program, 69
- medical care
 - affected by funding cuts, 60
 - for indigents, property taxes and, 61662
 - See also* health services
- mental health services, cut due to state funding cuts, 60
- mesothelioma, 263
- meteor hit, in Sudbury, Canada, 9
- Millers, Nevada, 31
- mills
 - ball mill, 15616
 - custom mill (at Sunshine), 14616
 - Sunshine Mill and Mine, 12, 13615, 16617
- Mina route (for railroad), 88
- Mineral County, Nevada, 73, 76677
- Mineral Ridge, 17618, 78
 - Boland's work for, 18
- mines and mining
 - access to land, 24
 - Boland's work for, 11612, 13614
 - Canadian companies with operations in Nevada, 11612
 - costs of mining, 22623
 - Drinkwater Mine, 15
 - fees for, 19, 23
 - gold, 15, 17618
 - Homestead, 21
 - Mary Mine, 15, 17618
 - Mineral Ridge, 17618
 - options on, 13614
 - permits for, 18, 19, 22
 - public comment period prior to opening, 48649
 - reclamation bonds, 22
 - reclamation work required for, 13614, 16617, 19

- mines and mining (*continued*)
 - regulations, current level of, 19, 22
 - regulations, lack of in 1950s, 18619
 - Round Mountain Gold, 16
 - Sagebrush Rebellion and, 25
 - silver, 13, 15
 - Sixteen-to-One mine, 13
 - small miners, difficulties for, 22623
 - Sunshine Mill and Mine, 12, 13615, 16617, 22, 25
 - wilderness areas, mining claims inside, 25626, 36, 76, 81
 - See also* Silver Peak
- Mining and Minerals Act, 46
- Montezuma, Nevada
 - grazing allotments, 39, 44
 - vegetation destroyed by wild horses near, 40
- mother of Boland (Viola Frenz Boland), 1
- Mount Jackson, 78, 88
- Mount Jackson graze, 41
- Mount Magruder, 78
- mountain lions, 43
 - cub found by road, 43
- mountain sheep, 43
- Mountain States Tire, 6, 8, 9610, 23
- mule deer, kept from water by wild horses, 40
- mules, wild, 41
- MX missile program, 86687, 91
 - opposition to, 67
- N
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 71
- National Forests, 24
- NDEP (Nevada Division of Environmental Protection), 13614, 19
- NDOT (Nevada Department of Transportation), 49, 83
- NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act), 71
- Nevada
 - Boland's love for, 8
 - Boland's move to, 6, 8
 - comment period notice, 49
 - economy in, 996100
 - energy production by, 26628
 - fees for miners in, 23
 - indigent medical care in, funding for, 61662
 - inventory of public lands in, 35636
 - local revenue sources, appropriation by state, 58659
 - mailing list for notices of meetings, 49
 - people in, compared with Rochester, NY, and Canada, 8
 - public meetings/comment period in, 48649
 - road issues, position on, 49651
 - services/programs curtailed or cut due to funding cuts, 59662
 - state school fund, 58
 - unfunded mandates, 63, 69
- Nevada Association of Counties, 50, 61, 83
- Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT), 49, 83
- Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP), 13614, 19
- Nevada Energy Office, 34
- Nevada Test Site, 89
- New York State
 - Boland's childhood in, 164
 - Boland's father's work in, 263
 - canal-building in, 2
 - industries in, 164
 - See also* Rochester, New York
- newspapers, lack of coverage for Esmeralda County in, 65666
- nickel, 9
- Nivloc, Nevada, 13
- North Pole, Nevada, 9
- Northern Telecom, Boland's job for, 5
- nuclear power
 - jobs and tax base created by, 33
 - low-water reactors, 28
 - possibilities for Esmeralda County, 28
- nuclear repository. *See* Yucca Mountain Nuclear Repository Office, Boland at, 1
- nuclear research facility, 99
- nuclear weapons testing
 - observation of, 90
 - radiation from, 89, 90
- NV Energy, widening-of-roads proposed, 47
- Nye County, Nevada
 - ballot issue on state's ability to appropriate county money, 68669
 - fees for water pipeline, 55
 - geothermal energy in, 29630
 - partnering with Esmeralda County, NV, 59660
 - power production project, 27
 - road issues and, 51, 52
 - senior services, 59660

Nye County, Nevada (*continued*)
tax base, 32
Title 5 right-of-ways, 52
widening of roads in, proposal for, 47

O

Oasis, 27
Oriental Wash, 27
overgrazing, 40641, 70, 72
Owens Valley, California, 27628
ownership of land. *See* land ownership

P

Pahrump Valley Times, 66, 98
Palmetto Mountains, NV, 41642, 78
mountain lions in, 43
Palo Verde, Arizona, 29
parole services, 60
Paymaster, Nevada, 40
payment equal to taxes (PETT), 93
PEIS (programmatic environmental impact statement), 29
Penfield, New York, 3
permits, 18619, 22
air-quality, 22
engineer needed for, 19
for mining on private land, 19
for mining on public land, 19
process for, 18
reclamation, 22
water-discharge, 22
water-quality, 22
petroleum products, as hazardous materials, 22
PETT (payment equal to taxes), 93
photovoltaic (PV) power generation, 31
political activism, 67669
See also Sagebrush Rebellion
poppies, California, 41
potassium, 87
power, 26630
nuclear power, 28, 33
power generation, abatement of taxes for, 33634
power generation, in Esmeralda County, NV, 26627
power generation, in Palo Verde, Arizona, 29
power route (to consumers), 27628
See also energy production
probation services, 60
property rights. *See* land rights

property tax money, 61662
funding medical care for indigents, 61662
public comment/meetings
on mining projects, 48649
on resource management plan, 48
on wilderness proposals, 76677
public need, fees charged despite, 55
public purposes lease, on wilderness areas, 64
PV (photovoltaic) power generation, 31

R

radiation, 89
railroad
proposed for Yucca Mountain, 30, 87, 93696
routes, 87688, 95696
super train, 99
transport of minerals by, 87688
wilderness and land map exclusion of, 30631
ranching and grazing
adaptive management for, 71672
allotments, cattle/grazing, 37638, 39, 44, 55656
allotments, cattle-grazing vs. horse-grazing, 39640
allotments split into different leases, 38
cattle, decrease in number of, 43644
cattle removed from Colvin and Lida Ranch, 44645
Colvin, Ben, and, 44645
conflicts due to wild horses, 37638, 40641
current status of, 43645
drought and, 70, 71
federal policies/regulations and, 37641
fees, objection to, 44645
flexibility for, 70, 71672
grazing, federal restrictions on, 23, 41642
grazing allotments, water and, 37640, 55657
grazing by owners not in residence on land, 38639
grazing rotation, restrictions on, 41642
“The Great Cattle Rustling Affair,” 44645
hauling water for, 37640, 55
historic use of land and water, 39640
Lida Ranch, 20, 38, 41, 44, 45
Magruder and Mount Jackson graze, 41
overgrazing, 40641, 70, 72

ranching and grazing (*continued*)
 recommendations for future of, 54657
 regulations preventing use of natural
 water for, 37638
 RO Ranch, 20
 rotation of grazing lands, 55, 71672
 Sagebrush Rebellion and, 23626, 44645
 smaller ranches, 43644
 water rights and access, 24, 37640, 546
 55, 58
 wild horse issues for, 37642, 52654
 wild horse overpopulation and, 37638,
 52653
 wild horses used by ranchers (previously),
 70671
See also Sagebrush Rebellion

Rannells, Ed, 85

reclamation
 reclamation bonds, 22
 reclamation permits, 22
 reclamation work required for mines, 136
 14, 16617, 19

recreation and public purposes lease, 64

regulations, 100
 access to land and, 24
 crossover of authority and, 86
 environmental, 46
 for mining, 13614, 16617, 19, 22
 NDEP (Nevada Division of
 Environmental Protection), 13614
 onerous nature of, 35, 100
 political leadership and legislation and, 35
 preventing water use (natural water) for
 cattle/grazing allotments, 37640
 reclamation work (for mines), 13614, 166
 17, 19
 for wilderness study areas, 77678
See also BLM; land; permits

Reid, Harry
 conference calls of, 98699
 Goldfield sewer project expedited by, 85
 virtual blackmail incident, 97699
 wilderness area, comments on, 77
 Yucca Mountain opposition and, 92

religion, first husband involved with, 667

renewable energy, abatement of taxes for, 61

Republicans, 36, 80

resource management plan, 35, 36, 47648,
 72
 public meetings on, 48

Reveille Valley, Nevada, 90

right-of-way, 46647, 50652, 56, 83
 fees for solar/wind companies, 55
 fees for water pipelines over public land,
 54655
 for paving, widening, or altering a road,
 50
 in perpetuity, 50, 51, 52
 renewal of, 50
 Title 5, 47, 50652
See also roads

RO Ranch, 20

roads, 46652, 66, 72
 citizen reaction to closures of, 23624
 county roads, 46, 49650
 county use and public purpose of, 46
 Esmeralda County, NV, maps of, 52, 74,
 79680
 government shut-down of access, 23624
 maintenance of, 47
 on maps, importance of, 46647, 49, 52,
 79680
 ownership of, 46647, 49650
 ownership, RS2477 regulations and, 466
 47, 49651
 paving project in Esmeralda County, NV,
 83685
 paving, widening, or altering, Title 5
 right-of-way for, 50
 resolutions on (1976-1977), 66
 right-of-ways and, 46647, 50652
 right-of-ways in perpetuity, 50, 51, 52
 right-of-ways, renewals of, 50
 rotation of uses proposed, 72
 RS2477 roads, 46, 49651
 state recognition of, 49
 Title 5 right-of-way, 47, 50652
 trucks, hauling water and, 39640
 wilderness areas and, 25626, 27, 52, 72

Rochester, New York
 Boland family in, 163
 Eastman Kodak in, 2
 Hickok (company) in, 162
 industries in, 163
 millionaires in, 13
 Nancy Boland born in, 1

Round Mountain Gold, 16

RS2477, 46, 49651
 right-of-way in perpetuity and, 51
 Title 5 right-of-way and, 50, 51

Rye Patch, Nevada, 55

S

Sagebrush Rebellion, 23626, 44645, 63664, 70
beginnings of, 23625, 66
continued issues of, 73
fees for grazing, objection to, 44645
future of, 68
“The Great Cattle Rustling Affair,” 44645, 64
issues in, 23624, 26
leaders of, 23, 24625, 66667
lessons from, 67
meetings at Golden Hills or Jerry’s, 23
mining companies and, 25
Salazar, Ken, 35, 36
Secretary of the Interior, 35, 36
senior services
curtailed by funding cuts, 59660
Meals-on-Wheels-type program, 69
transportation for seniors, 59660
Sierra Club, 75
silica, 87
silver mining, 13, 15
Silver Peak, Nevada, 12, 13, 15, 17
Boland’s work at, 21
fees for waterworks in, 54
geothermal resources in, 26
mountain lions in area, 43
need for housing, 32
power route involving, 27
as quarter section, 32
ranchers hauling water from, 39
water loan in, 100
wild horses removed from, 41
wilderness study area near, 25, 78, 79
Sixteen-to-One mine, 13
social services/programs, curtailed by
funding cuts, 59662
solar power
abatement of taxes for, 34
jobs from, 31, 33
land for, 30631
PEIS (programmatic environmental impact statement), 29
project near Tonopah, NV, 31
reduced fees for solar companies, 55
water for, 31
Spitz, Mark, 4
stagehand, husband’s work as, 12
state. *See* Nevada
state school fund (Nevada), 58

Sudbury, Canada, 9
meteor hit in, 9
sulfur, 87
Sunshine Mill and Mine, 12, 13615, 22
Hunt brothers and, 14
reclamation work at, 16617
wilderness study area, comments on
mineral values in, 25
super-collider super-conductor, 99
super train, 99
Syracuse, New York, 4

T

takings, 81
taxes
abatement of, 33634, 61
abatement of county share of, 61
nuclear power and, 33
paid to counties with developments, 32
property tax, abatement for renewable
energy projects, 61
property tax, funding for indigent medical
care, 61662
taken away from counties, 33634
tax base in Esmeralda County, 32634
Tea Party movement, 80
Test Site (Nevada Test Site), 89
Timmins, Ontario (Canada), 869
tire company. *See* United Tire and Rubber
Title 5 right-of-way, 47, 50652
Tonopah, Nevada, 15, 29
BLM field office manager in, 64
Mountain States Tire in, 6, 8, 9610, 23
potential development and projects in, 29,
31, 32
public meetings on resource management
plan in, 48
solar power project near, 31
vegetation destroyed by wild horses near,
40
water pipeline, fees for, 55
Tonopah Times, 66
Toronto, Ontario (Canada), 566
townsites, 73
transportation, for seniors, 59660
U
unemployment rate, in Esmeralda County,
NV, 33
unfunded mandates, 63, 69
United States
overall personality of people in, 7

- United States (*continued*)
 - variety in, 7
- United Tire and Rubber, 566, 8, 19620
- Utah, right-of-way issues and, 50651
- V
- Valley Electric, 29
- Vancouver, Canada, mining companies
 - based in, 11612
- virtual blackmail incident, 97699
- Vogt, Jack, 44
- voting
 - ballot issue on state's ability to
 - appropriate county money, 68669
 - lazy-voter theory, 69
 - people who vote "no" to any question, 69
- W
- Wade, Troy, 99
- Waite, Mark, 66, 75, 77
- Washoe County, Nevada, 61, 63
 - ballot issue in, 69
- water
 - cattle/grazing allotments, water use and
 - regulations, 37640, 55657
 - fees for county governments, 54655
 - fees for pipeline right-of-ways, 54655
 - government regulations/restrictions on,
 - 23, 24
 - hauling for cattle, 37640, 55
 - in-holdings in wilderness areas, 79, 81
 - management, BLM and, 38639
 - for nuclear reactor cooling, 28
 - permitted uses, 28629
 - pipelines to cattle, 38639, 54655
 - pump stations, 100
 - ranching and grazing, water rights and
 - access issues, 24, 37640, 54655, 58
 - regulations on, 100
 - for solar power, 31
 - water-discharge permits, 22
 - water holes, rights to, 56
 - water plan, Esmeralda County, NV, 286
 - 29
 - water-quality permits, 22
 - water rights, 24, 38640, 56657
 - water system and treatment in Esmeralda
 - County, NV, 100
 - water table, dropping of, 28
 - water rights, 24, 38640, 56657
- Webster, New York, 3
- wells, 100
- White Mountains, 80
- Wichman, Oz, 51
- Wild and Free-Roaming Horses and Burros
 - Act of 1971, 41
- wild horses. *See* horses, wild
- Wilderness Act, 35636, 79
- wilderness areas, 24627, 73680
 - access to, and limits on land use, 25626,
 - 27, 36
 - BLM reassessment of, 77679
 - comments on mineral values in, 25
 - economic impacts of wilderness
 - designation, 26627, 32, 36, 74681
 - energy production, potential limited by,
 - 26627
 - environmental groups and, 25
 - in Esmeralda County, NV, 32, 73679
 - in Esmeralda County, NV, proposal for
 - 86% of county land, 74676, 98
 - fire danger and, 81
 - inventory of public lands for, 35636
 - land-use policies for, 24627, 36
 - lands bill and, 73
 - law enforcement in, heavy-handed, 64665
 - marijuana in, 64, 80, 81
 - mining claims in, 25626, 36, 76, 81
 - motorized activities banned, including
 - chainsaws, 25626, 79
 - non-motorized transport as sole mode of
 - access, 25626
 - politics and, 35636, 73680
 - proposed, prime areas for, 78679
 - public meetings on, 76677
 - recreation and public purposes lease on,
 - 64
 - regulations for, 79
- Reid, Harry, and, 77
- road maps and, 74, 79680
- road policies in, 25626, 27, 52, 72
- as taking land away from other uses, 266
 - 27, 32, 36, 74675, 76, 78681
- water in-holdings in, 79, 81
- wild lands designation, 35
- wilderness study areas, 25626, 73, 77679
- withdrawal of land/withdrawal maps, 306
 - 31
- wildlife
 - kept from water by wild horses, 40
 - populations of, 42643

wind power
abatement of taxes for, 34
reduced fees for wind companies, 55

X

Xerox (corporation), 3

Y

Yellow Hills, grazing allotment in, 39

Yucca Mountain, 30, 32, 87-97

DOE and, 94-95

future of, 94

government honesty/dishonesty and, 89-90

inducements offered for Nevada's

acceptance of, 97, 99

opposition to, 90-94

PETT (payment equal to taxes), 93

as political issues, 92, 99-100

public meetings on, 94-95

rail line proposed for, 30, 86, 93-96

rural counties and, 91

Z

Zephyr (company), 15

zinc, used in gold mining, 15-16